Proposed Wording for Concepts
(Revision 8)

Authors: Douglas Gregor, Indiana University
           Bjarne Stroustrup, Texas A&M University
           James Widman, Gimpel Software
           Jeremy Siek, University of Colorado at Boulder
Document number: N2741=08-0251
Revises document number: N2710=08-0220
Date: 2008-08-25
Project: Programming Language C++, Core Working Group
Reply-to: Douglas Gregor <doug.gregor@gmail.com>

Introduction

This document provides proposed wording for concepts. Readers unfamiliar with concepts are encouraged to read the complete proposal [1]. It is recommended that readers "tour" this concepts wording using N2399=07-0259, which provides an examples-directed view of the major language features involved in concepts, cross-referenced with this document. This document provides wording for changes to the core language. Changes to the standard library are discussed in separate documents:

— N2618=08-0128: Concepts for the C++0x Standard Library: Introduction (Revision 1)

— N2619=08-0129: Concepts for the C++0x Standard Library: Language Support Library

— N2620=08-0130: Concepts for the C++0x Standard Library: Diagnostics library

— N2737=08-0247: Foundational Concepts for the C++0x Standard Library (Revision 3)

— N2735=08-0245: Concepts for the C++0x Standard Library: Utilities (Revision 3)

— N2738=08-0248: Concepts for the C++0x Standard Library: Containers (Revision 2)

— N2739=08-0249: Iterator Concepts for the C++0x Standard Library (Revision 3)

— N2734=08-0244: Concepts for the C++0x Standard Library: Iterators (Revision 3)

— N2740=08-0250: Concepts for the C++0x Standard Library: Algorithms (Revision 3)

— N2736=08-0246: Concepts for the C++0x Standard Library: Numerics (Revision 2)

— N2654=08-0161: Allocator Concepts (Revision 1)

Changes from N2710

— Removed the deprecation of `late_check`.

— Clarified how a "call to an associated function candidate set" actually works in the *pseudo-destructor-name* and "initialization of an object" cases (14.10.4). For example:

```
auto concept DefaultConstructible<typename T> {
  T::T();
}

template<DefaultConstructible T>
void f() {
  T t1;   // type-checks as a call to DefaultConstructible<T>'s T::T()
  T t2{}; // type-checks as a call to DefaultConstructible<T>'s T::T()
}

template void f<int>(); // t1 is not initialized, t2 is value-initialized
```

— The `MoveConstructible<T>` requirement is no longer propagated from the types of function parameters (14.10.1.2). This change brings concepts in sync with generalized initializer lists (N2672), which permit a function parameter to be initialized without copying or moving.

— Made an expression that uses a constrained member in the current instantiation a type-dependent expression (14.6.2.2). This change is required to properly delay type-checking of, e.g., unconstrained templates that have constrained members:

```
template<typename T> struct list {
  requires C<T> void f(int);
  void g() { f(17); } // "f" is type-dependent, because it might or
                      // might not exist in the instantiation.
};
```

— Made default function arguments an unconstrained context (again), due to the realization that this change could cause a large increase in the number of constructors need for, e.g., `unordered_map`. Please see c++std-core-13280 and c++std-ext-10456 for additional information.

— Clarified the point of declaration of a template requirement (3.3.1) and its potential scope (3.3.9).

— Clarified that the requirements of a constrained member include the requirements of enclosing constrained templates (14.5.1).

— Rewrote the section describing the implicit definition of concept maps and concept map templates for refinements (14.9.3.2). The semantics have not changed, but the resulting wording should be much clearer.

— Specify precisely which built-in candidates get defined when archetypes are present (13.6).

— Clarified the template requirements of the default implementations of associated functions (14.9.1.1).

— Clarified the formation of retain candidate sets (14.10.4) and of candidate sets themselves (14.10.3).

Typographical conventions

Within the proposed wording, text that has been added will be presented in blue and underlined when possible. Text that has been removed will be presented in red, with strike-through when possible. Wording new to this revision will be underlined in green. Take *that*, angry fruit salad.

Purely editorial comments will be written in a separate, shaded box.

Chapter 1   General   [intro]

1.3   Definitions   [intro.defs]

1.3.1   [defns.signature]
signature
the name and the *parameter-type-list* (8.3.5) of a function, as well as the class, concept, concept map, or namespace of which it is a member. If a function or function template is a class member its signature additionally includes the *cv*-qualifiers (if any) and the *ref-qualifier* (if any) on the function or function template itself. The signature of a function template additionally includes its return type ~~and~~, its template parameter list, and its template requirements (if any). The signature of a function template specialization includes the signature of the template of which it is a specialization and its template arguments (whether explicitly specified or deduced). [*Note:* Signatures are used as a basis for name mangling and linking. — *end note*]

Chapter 2   Lexical conventions   [lex]

2.11 Keywords   [key]

1   The identifiers shown in Table 3 are reserved for use as keywords (that is, they are unconditionally treated as keywords in phase 7):

Table 3: keywords

asm	continue	friend	register	throw
auto	default	goto	reinterpret_cast	true
axiom	delete	if	requires	try
bool	do	inline	return	typedef
break	double	int	short	typeid
case	dynamic_cast	late_check	signed	typename
catch	else	long	sizeof	union
char	enum	mutable	static	unsigned
char16_t	explicit	namespace	static_assert	using
char32_t	export	new	static_cast	virtual
class	extern	operator	struct	void
concept	false	private	switch	volatile
concept_map	float	protected	template	wchar_t
const	for	public	this	while
const_cast				

Chapter 3   Basic concepts [basic]

3   An *entity* is a value, object, subobject, base class subobject, array element, variable, function, instance of a function, enumerator, type, class member, template, namespace, ~~or~~ parameter pack, concept, or concept map.

6   Some names denote types, classes, concepts, concept map names, enumerations, or templates. In general, it is necessary to determine whether or not a name denotes one of these entities before parsing the program that contains it. The process that determines this is called *name lookup* (3.4).

3.2   One definition rule [basic.def.odr]

1   No translation unit shall contain more than one definition of any variable, function, class type, concept, concept map, enumeration type or template.

5   There can be more than one definition of a class type (clause 9), concept (14.9), concept map (14.9.2), enumeration type ([dcl.enum]), inline function with external linkage ([dcl.fct.spec]), class template (clause 14), non-static function template (14.5.6), static data member of a class template ([temp.static]), member function of a class template ([temp.mem.func]), or template specialization for which some template parameters are not specified (14.7, 14.5.5) in a program provided that each definition appears in a different translation unit, and provided the definitions satisfy the following requirements. Given such an entity named D defined in more than one translation unit, then

3.3   Declarative regions and scopes [basic.scope]

3.3.1   Point of declaration [basic.scope.pdecl]

10   The point of declaration for a concept (14.9) is immediately after the identifier in the *concept-definition*. The point of declaration for a concept map (14.9.2) is immediately after the *concept-id* in the *concept-map-definition*.

11   The point of declaration for a template requirement (14.10.1) is immediately after the corresponding *requirement* in a *requires-clause* or the *constrained-template-parameter* in a *template-parameter-list*. The point of declaration of an implied template requirement (14.10.1.2) is the point of declaration of the template requirement from which it was implied or immediately after the declaration of the entity from which the requirement was implied.

Add the following new sections to 3.3 [basic.scope] after [basic.scope.class]:

3.3.8   Concept scope [basic.scope.concept]

1   The following rules describe the scope of names declared in concepts and concept maps.

   1) The potential scope of a name declared in a concept or concept map consists not only of the declarative region following the name's point of declaration, but also of all associated function bodies in that concept or concept map.

2) A name N used in a concept or concept map S shall refer to the same declaration in its context and when re-evaluated in the completed scope of S. No diagnostic is required for a violation of this rule.

3) If reordering declarations in a concept or concept map yields an alternate valid program under (1), the program is ill-formed, no diagnostic is required.

4) A name declared within an associated function definition hides a declaration of the same name whose scope extends to or past the end of the associated function's concept or concept map.

2   ~~The name of a concept member shall only be used in the scope of its concept (as described above).~~

3.3.9   Requirements scope   [basic.scope.req]

1   A template requirement has *requirements scope*. Its potential scope begins at its point of declaration and terminates at the end of the constrained template.

2   In a constrained context (14.10), the names of all associated functions inside the concepts named by the concept requirements in the template's requirements are visible in the scope of the template declaration. [*Example:*

```
concept Integral<typename T> {
  T::(const T&);
  T operator-(T);
}

concept RAIterator<typename Iter> {
  Integral difference_type;
  difference_type operator-(Iter, Iter);
}

template<RAIterator Iter>
RAIterator<Iter>::difference_type distance(Iter first, Iter last) {
  return -(first - last); // okay: name lookup for operator- finds RAIterator<Iter>::operator-
                          // and Integral<RAIterator<Iter>::difference_type>::operator-
                          // overload resolution picks the appropriate operator for both uses of -
}
```

— *end example*]

3   [*Note:* Function names can be found within the concept map archetypes ([temp.archetype]) corresponding to a template's requirements. [*Example:*

```
concept A<class B> {
 void g( const B& );
}

template< class T, class U >
requires A<U>
void f( T & x, U & y ) {
  g( y ); // binds to A<U'>::g( const U' & )
  g( x ); // error: no overload of g takes T' values.
}
```

— end example] *— end note*]

3.3.10   Name hiding   [basic.scope.hiding]

1   A name can be hidden by an explicit declaration of that same name in a nested declarative region, refining concept (14.9.3), or derived class ([class.member.lookup]).

Add the following new paragraph:

6   In an associated function definition, the declaration of a local name hides the declaration of a member of the concept or concept map with the same name; see 3.3.8.

3.4   Name lookup   [basic.lookup]

1   The name lookup rules apply uniformly to all names (including *typedef-names* ([dcl.typedef]), *namespace-names* ([basic.namespace]), *concept-names* (14.9), *concept-map-names* (14.9.2), and *class-names* ([class.name]) wherever the grammar allows such names in the context discussed by a particular rule. Name lookup associates the use of a name with a declaration ([basic.def]) of that name. Name lookup shall find an unambiguous declaration for the name (see [class.member.lookup]). Name lookup may associate more than one declaration with a name if it finds the name to be a function name; the declarations are said to form a set of overloaded functions (13.1). Overload resolution (13.3) takes place after name lookup has succeeded. The access rules (clause [class.access]) are considered only once name lookup and function overload resolution (if applicable) have succeeded. Only after name lookup, function overload resolution (if applicable) and access checking have succeeded are the attributes introduced by the name's declaration used further in expression processing (clause 5).

3.4.1   Unqualified name lookup   [basic.lookup.unqual]

Add the following new paragraphs:

16   A name used in the definition of a concept or concept map X outside of an associated function body shall be declared in one of the following ways:

— before its use in the concept or concept map X or be a member of a refined concept of X, or

— if X is a member of namespace N, before the definition of concept or concept map X in namespace N or in one of N's enclosing namespaces.

[*Example:*

```
concept Callable<class F, class T1> {
  result_type operator() (F&, T1);
  typename result_type; // error result_type used before declared
}
```

— end example]

17   A name used in the definition of an associated function (14.9.1.1) of a concept or concept map X following the associated function's *declarator-id* shall be declared in one of the following ways:

— before its use in the block in which it is used or in an enclosing block ([stmt.block]), or

— shall be a member of concept or concept map X or be a member of a refined concept of X, or

— if X is a member of namespace N, before the associated function definition, in namespace N or in one of N's enclosing namespaces.

3.4.3   Qualified name lookup   [basic.lookup.qual]

1   The name of a class, concept map (but not concept), or namespace member or enumerator can be referred to after the :: scope resolution operator (5.1) applied to a *nested-name-specifier* that nominates its class, concept map, namespace, or enumeration. During the lookup for a name preceding the :: scope resolution operator, object, function, and enumerator names are ignored. If the name found does not designate a namespace, concept map, or a class, enumeration, or dependent type, the program is ill-formed.

> Add the following paragraph to Qualified name lookup [basic.lookup.qual]

6   In a constrained template (14.10), a name prefixed by a *nested-name-specifier* that nominates a template type parameter T is looked up as follows: for each template requirement C<*args*> whose template argument list references T, the name is looked up as if the *nested-name-specifier* referenced C<*args*> instead of T (3.4.3.3), except that only the names of associated types are visible during this lookup. If an associated type of at least one requirement is found, then each name found shall refer to the same type. Otherwise, if the reference to the name occurs within a constrained context, the name is looked up within the scope of the archetype associated with T (and no special restriction on name visibility is in effect for this lookup). [*Note:* Otherwise, the name is a member of an unknown specialization [temp.dep.type] — *end note*] [*Example:*

```
concept C<typename T> {
  typename assoc_type;
}

template<typename T, typename U> requires C<T> && C<U>
  T::assoc_type      // okay: refers to C<T>::assoc_type
  f();
```

— *end example*]

> Add the following subsection to Qualified name lookup [basic.lookup.qual]

3.4.3.3   Concept map members   [concept.qual]

1   If the *nested-name-specifier* of a *qualified-id* nominates a concept instance, the name specified after the *nested-name-specifier* is looked up as follows:

— If the template argument list of the concept instance references a template parameter or associated type of a requirement, and if the name, when looked up within the scope of the concept ~~of~~(not the concept instance), ~~unambiguously~~ refers to an associated type or class template, the result of name lookup is the associated type or class template as a member of the requirement. [*Note:* this implies that, given two distinct type parameters T and U, C<T>::type and C<U>::type are distinct types (although they may refer to the same archetype). Also, lookup of 'type' within C<T> and C<U> does not require the creation of a concept map archetype for C<T> or C<U>. — *end note*]

    — Otherwise, concept map lookup (14.10.1.1) first determines which concept map is referred to by the nested-name-specifier. Then concept member lookup (14.9.3.1) is used to find the name within the scope of the concept map. The name shall represent one or more members of that concept map or the concept maps corresponding to the concept refinements. [*Note:* this lookup requires a concept map definition, so if the template argument list of the concept instance references a template parameter or associated type of a requirement, a concept map archetype definition is required. *— end note*]

[*Note:* Outside of a constrained context, this means that one or more requirement members (14.9.2) will be found, and since those names are synonyms for sets of other names, the result of name lookup is the union of each of those sets. *— end note*] . [*Note:* a concept map member can be referred to using a *qualified-id* at any point in its potential scope (3.3.8). [*Example:*

```
concept Callable1<typename F, typename T1> {
  typename result_type;
  result_type operator()(F&, T1);
}

template<typename F, typename T1>
requires Callable1<F, T1>
Callable1<F, T1>::result_type
forward(F& f, const T1& t1) {
  return f(t1);
}
```

— end example] *— end note*]

2   A concept map member name hidden by a name in a nested declarative region or by the name of a refining concept member can still be found if qualified by the name of its concept map followed by the : : operator.

3.5   Program and linkage   [basic.link]

5   In addition, a member function, static data member, a named class or enumeration of class scope, or an unnamed class or enumeration defined in a class-scope typedef declaration such that the class or enumeration has the typedef name for linkage purposes ([dcl.typedef]), has external linkage if the name of the class has external linkage.

3.9   Types   [basic.types]

1   [*Note:* 3.9 and the subclauses thereof impose requirements on implementations regarding the representation of types. There are two kinds of types: fundamental types and compound types. Types describe objects ([intro.object]), references (8.3.2), or functions (8.3.5). In a constrained context (14.10), type archetypes can behave like various kinds of types, e.g., object types, scalar types, literal types, etc. *— end note*]

Chapter 5   Expressions   [expr]

5.1   Primary expressions   [expr.prim]

7 An *identifier* is an *id-expression* provided it has been suitably declared (clause 7). [*Note:* for *operator-function-id*s, see 13.5; for *conversion-function-id*s, see 12.3.2; for *template-id*s, see [temp.names]. A *class-name* prefixed by ∼ denotes a destructor; see 12.4. Within the definition of a non-static member function, an *identifier* that names a non-static member is transformed to a class member access expression ([class.mfct.non-static]). — *end note*] The type of the expression is the type of the *identifier*. The result is the entity denoted by the identifier. The result is an lvalue if the entity is a function, variable, or data member.

> *qualified-id:*
> > `::`*opt nested-name-specifier* `template`*opt unqualified-id*
> > `::` *identifier*
> > `::` *operator-function-id*
> > `::` *template-id*

> *nested-name-specifier:*
> > *type-name* `::`
> > *namespace-name* `::`
> > *nested-name-specifier identifier* `::`
> > *nested-name-specifier* `template`*opt template-id* `::`
> > *nested-name-specifier*_{opt} *concept-id* `::`

5.2   Postfix expressions   [expr.post]

5.2.2   Function call   [expr.call]

5.3   Unary expressions   [expr.unary]

5.3.1   Unary operators   [expr.unary.op]

2 The result of the unary `&` operator is a pointer to its operand. The operand shall be an lvalue or a *qualified-id*. In the first case, if the type of the expression is "T," the type of the result is "pointer to T." In particular, the address of an object of type "*cv* T" is "pointer to *cv* T," with the same cv-qualifiers. For a *qualified-id*, if the member is a static member of type "T", the type of the result is plain "pointer to T." If the member is a non-static member of class C of type T, the type of the result is "pointer to member of `class C` of type T." The address of a member of a concept map (14.9.2) shall not be taken, either implicitly or explicitly, nor shall a member of a concept map be bound to a reference. [*Example:*

```
struct A { int i; };
struct B : A { };
... &B::i ...                  // has type int A::*
```

— *end example*] [*Note:* a pointer to member formed from a `mutable` non-static data member ([dcl.stc]) does not reflect the `mutable` specifier associated with the non-static data member. — *end note*]

5.19 Constant expressions [expr.const]

3 A constant expression is an *integral constant expression* if it is of integral or enumeration type, or, in a constrained template (14.10), if it is of a type *cv* T that is an archetype and if the concept requirement `IntegralConstantExpressionType<T>` ([concept.support]) is part of the template's requirements. [*Note:* such expressions may be used as array bounds (8.3.4, 5.3.4), as case expressions (6.4.2), as bit-field lengths (9.6), as enumerator initializers (7.2), as static member initializers (9.4.2), and as integral or enumeration non-type template arguments (14.3). — *end note*]

Chapter 6   Statements   [stmt.stmt]

1   Except as indicated, statements are executed in sequence.

> *statement:*
> > *labeled-statement*
> > *expression-statement*
> > *compound-statement*
> > *selection-statement*
> > *iteration-statement*
> > *jump-statement*
> > *declaration-statement*
> > *try-block*
> > *late-check-block*

6.9   Late-checked block   [stmt.late]

1   In a constrained context (14.10), a late-checked block treats the enclosed statements as if they were in an unconstrained context. Outside of a constrained context, the late-checked block has no effect. [*Note:* in a late-checked block, template parameters do not behave as if they were replaced with their corresponding archetypes. Thus, template parameters imply the existence of dependent types, type-dependent expressions, and dependent names as in an unconstrained template. Furthermore, names at requirements scope (3.3.9) are not visible.   *— end note*]

> *late-check-block:*
> > `late_check` *compound-statement*

2   [*Example:*

```
concept Semigroup<typename T> {
  T::T(const T&);
  T operator+(T, T);
}

concept_map Semigroup<int> {
  int operator+(int x, int y) { return x * y; }
}

template<Semigroup T>
T add(T x, T y) {
  T r = x + y; // uses Semigroup<T>::operator+
  late_check {
    r = x + y; // uses operator+ found at instantiation time (not considering Semigroup<T>::operator+)
  }
```

```
        return r;
    }
```

— end example]

3   [*Note:* within a late-checked block, users should prefer to avoid using operations which, if written outside of the late-checked block and in the nearest enclosing unconstrained context, would bind to a member of a concept map archetype. If said avoidance is not practical, those operations should be clearly documented. For example, the author of `add(T,T)` should warn other users against satisfying `Semigroup<T>::operator+` with anything other than the `operator+` that would normally be selected for a given type `T`. *— end note*]

4   ~~The use of `late_check` is deprecated.~~

5   [*Note:* a late-checked block should be used only when certain suitably constrained versions of templates are not yet available for use from within the body of a constrained template definition and the only viable alternative is the use of an unconstrained template. `late_check` is regarded as an evolutionary tool, to mitigate the cost of migrating a template library to use concepts when the library is based on unconstrained templates that cannot be constrained at that time. The use of `late_check` involves a loss of type-checking and can circumvent the syntax adaptation capabilities provided by concept maps, leading to instantiations that will silently invoke different operations than expected, as in the use of + in the `add` example above. For these reasons, the use of `late_check` should be avoided whenever possible. *— end note*]

Chapter 7   Declarations   [dcl.dcl]

1   Declarations specify how names are to be interpreted. Declarations have the form

> *declaration-seq:*
> > *declaration*
> > *declaration-seq declaration*
>
> *declaration:*
> > *block-declaration*
> > *function-definition*
> > *template-declaration*
> > *explicit-instantiation*
> > *explicit-specialization*
> > *linkage-specification*
> > *namespace-definition*
> > *concept-definition*
> > *concept-map-definition*
>
> *block-declaration:*
> > *simple-declaration*
> > *asm-definition*
> > *namespace-alias-definition*
> > *using-declaration*
> > *using-directive*
> > *static_assert-declaration*
> > *alias-declaration*
>
> *alias-declaration:*
> > `using` *identifier* = *type-id*
>
> *simple-declaration:*
> > *decl-specifier-seq$_{opt}$ init-declarator-list$_{opt}$* ;
>
> *static_assert-declaration:*
> > `static_assert` (*constant-expression* , *string-literal*) ;

[*Note: asm-definition*s are described in [dcl.asm], and *linkage-specification*s are described in [dcl.link]. *Function-definition*s are described in [dcl.fct.def] and *template-declaration*s are described in clause 14. *Namespace-definition*s are described in [namespace.def], *concept-definition*s are described in 14.9.1, *concept-map-definition*s are described in 14.9.2, *using-declaration*s are described in 7.3.3 and *using-directive*s are described in [namespace.udir]. — *end note*]
The *simple-declaration*

> *decl-specifier-seq$_{opt}$ init-declarator-list$_{opt}$* ;

is divided into two parts: *decl-specifier*s, the components of a *decl-specifier-seq*, are described in [dcl.spec] and *declarator*s, the components of an *init-declarator-list*, are described in clause 8.

2   A declaration occurs in a scope (3.3); the scope rules are summarized in 3.4. A declaration that declares a function or defines a class, concept, concept map, namespace, template, or function also has one or more scopes nested within it. These nested scopes, in turn, can have declarations nested within them. Unless otherwise stated, utterances in clause 7 about components in, of, or contained by a declaration or subcomponent thereof refer only to those components of the declaration that are *not* nested within scopes nested within the declaration.

7.3.3   The `using` declaration   [namespace.udecl]

1   A *using-declaration* introduces a name into the declarative region in which the *using-declaration* appears. That name is a synonym for the name of some entity declared elsewhere.

> *using-declaration:*
>   using typename$_{opt}$ ::$_{opt}$ *nested-name-specifier unqualified-id* ;
>   using :: *unqualified-id* ;
>   using ::$_{opt}$ *nested-name-specifier$_{opt}$* concept_map ::$_{opt}$ *nested-name-specifier$_{opt}$ concept-id* ;
>   using ::$_{opt}$ *nested-name-specifier$_{opt}$* concept_map ::$_{opt}$ *nested-name-specifier$_{opt}$ concept-name$_{opt}$* ;
>   using ::$_{opt}$ *nested-name-specifier$_{opt}$ concept-name* ;

21   A *using-declaration* for a concept map is an alias to the concept map determined by concept map lookup (14.10.1.1) of the *concept-id* from the specified namespace. [*Example:*

```
namespace N1 {
  concept C<typename T> { }
}
namespace N2 {
  concept_map N1::C<int> { } // A
  template<typename T> concept_map N1::C<T*> { } // B
}
namespace N3 {
  using N2::concept_map N1::C<int>;   // aliases A
  using N2::concept_map N1::C<int*>; // aliases B, instantiated with T=int
}
```

— *end example*]

22   A *using-declaration* for a concept map that specifies a *concept-name* (and not a *concept-id*) brings all of the concept maps and concept map templates from the specified namespace for the given concept into the scope in which the *using-declaration* appears. [*Example:*

```
namespace N1 {
  concept C<typename T> { }
  template<C T> void f(T) { }
}
namespace N2 {
  concept_map N1::C<int> { } // A
  template<typename T> concept_map N1::C<T*> { } // B
}
namespace N3 {
  using N2::concept_map N1::C; // aliases A and B
```

```
    void g() {
      f(1); // uses concept map N1::C<int> from A
      f(new int); // uses concept map N1::C<int*> instantiated from B with T=int
    }
  }
```

— end example]

23 If no concept is specified in the concept map using declaration, the following rule applies. Let X be the namespace specified in the *nested-name-specifier* of the *using-declaration*. Let S be the set of all names of concept maps and concept map templates in X and in the transitive closure of all namespaces nominated by *using-directives* in X and its used namespaces, except that *using-directives* that nominate non-inline namespaces ([namespace.memdef]) are ignored in any namespace, including X, directly containing one or more names of a concept map or concept map template. No namespace is considered more than once to see if it contains a concept map or concept map template. If S is the empty set, the program is ill-formed. For each element in S, a name is introduced into the declarative region in which the *using-declaration* appears. The name is a synonym for the referent concept map or concept map template.   [*Example:*

```
  namespace N1 {
    concept C<typename T> { }
    template<C T> void f(T) { }
  }
  namespace N2 {
    concept D<typename T> { }
  }
  namespace N3 {
    concept_map N1::C<int> { } // A
    template<typename T> concept_map N1::C<T*> { } // B
    concept_map N2::D<int> { } // C
  }
  namespace N4 {
    using N3::concept_map; // aliases A, B, and C
  }
```

— end example]

24 If the second *nested-name-specifier* is specified but no concept is specified, then all concept maps in the namespace specified by the first *nested-name-specifier* for all concepts in the namespace specified by the second *nested-name-specifier* are brought into scope.

25 [*Note:* a *using-directive* for a namespace brings the concept maps of that namespace into scope, just like other entities. *— end note*] [*Example:*

```
  namespace N1 {
    concept C<typename T> { }
  }
  namespace N2 {
    concept_map N1::C<int> { }
  }
  namespace N3 {
    using namespace N2;
```

```
template<N1::C T> void foo(T) { };

void bar() {
  foo(17); // ok, finds the concept map from N2
}
}
```

— end example]

Chapter 8   Declarators   [dcl.decl]

8.3   Meaning of declarators   [dcl.meaning]

7   In a constrained context (14.10), a type archetype *cv* T shall only be used as the type of a variable if the template has a concept requirement `VariableType<T>`.

8.3.1   Pointers   [dcl.ptr]

5   In a constrained context (14.10), a type archetype *cv* T shall only be used to form a type "pointer to *cv* T" if the template has a concept requirement `PointeeType<T>`.

8.3.2   References   [dcl.ref]

6   In a constrained context (14.10), a type archetype *cv* T shall only be used to form a type "reference to *cv* T" if the template has a concept requirement `ReferentType<T>`.

8.3.3   Pointers to members   [dcl.mptr]

3   A pointer to member shall not point to a static member of a class ([class.static]), a member with reference type, or "*cv* void." In a constrained context (14.10), a pointer to member shall only point to a type archetype *cv* T if the template has a concept requirement `MemberPointeeType<T>`. [*Note:* see also 5.3 and [expr.mptr.oper]. The type "pointer to member" is distinct from the type "pointer", that is, a pointer to member is declared only by the pointer to member declarator syntax, and never by the pointer declarator syntax. There is no "reference-to-member" type in C++. — *end note*]

8.3.4   Arrays   [dcl.array]

2   An array can be constructed from one of the fundamental types (except `void`), from a pointer, from a pointer to member, from a class, from an enumeration type, or from another array. In a constrained context (14.10), an array shall only be constructed from a type archetype *cv* T if the template has a concept requirement `ObjectType<T>`.

8.3.5   Functions   [dcl.fct]

6   If the type of a parameter includes a type of the form "pointer to array of unknown bound of T" or "reference to array of unknown bound of T," the program is ill-formed.[1] Functions shall not have a return type of type array or function, although they may have a return type of type pointer or reference to such things. There shall be no arrays of functions, although there can be arrays of pointers to functions. In a constrained context (14.10), a type archetype *cv* T shall only be used as the return type of a function type if the template has a concept requirement `Returnable<T>`. Types shall not

---

[1] This excludes parameters of type "*ptr-arr-seq* T2" where T2 is "pointer to array of unknown bound of T" and where *ptr-arr-seq* means any sequence of "pointer to" and "array of" derived declarator types. This exclusion applies to the parameters of the function, and if a parameter is a pointer to function or pointer to member function then to its parameters also, etc.

be defined in return or parameter types. The type of a parameter or the return type for a function definition shall not be an incomplete class type (possibly cv-qualified) unless the function definition is nested within the *member-specification* for that class (including definitions in nested classes defined within the class).

9.2   Class members   **[class.mem]**

> *member-specification:*
>> *member-declaration member-specification$_{opt}$*
>> *access-specifier* : *member-specification$_{opt}$*
>
> *member-declaration:*
>> *member-requirement$_{opt}$ decl-specifier-seq$_{opt}$ member-declarator-list$_{opt}$* ;
>> *member-requirement$_{opt}$ function-definition* ;$_{opt}$
>> : :$_{opt}$ *nested-name-specifier* `template`$_{opt}$ *unqualified-id* ;
>> *using-declaration*
>> *static_assert-declaration*
>> *template-declaration*
>
> *member-requirement:*
>> *requires-clause*
>
> *member-declarator-list:*
>> *member-declarator*
>> *member-declarator-list* , *member-declarator*
>
> *member-declarator:*
>> *declarator pure-specifier$_{opt}$*
>> *declarator constant-initializer$_{opt}$*
>> *identifier$_{opt}$* : *constant-expression*
>
> *pure-specifier:*
>> = 0
>
> *constant-initializer:*
>> = *constant-expression*

Add the following new paragraphs to 9.2 [class.mem]

19   A non-template *member-declaration* that has a *member-requirement* (14.10.1) is a *constrained member* and shall only occur in a class template (14.5.1) or nested class thereof. The *member-declaration* for a constrained member shall declare a member function. A constrained member is treated as a constrained template (14.10) whose template requirements include the requirements specified in its *member-requirement* clause and the requirements of each enclosing constrained template.

Chapter 12   Special member functions   [special]

12.1   Constructors   [class.ctor]

5   A *default* constructor for a class X is a constructor of class X that can be called without an argument. If there is no user-declared constructor for class X, a constructor having no parameters is implicitly declared. An implicitly-declared default constructor is an `inline public` member of its class. ~~For a union-like class that has a variant member with a non-trivial default constructor, an implicitly-declared default constructor is defined as deleted ([dcl.fct.def]).~~ A default constructor is *trivial* if it is implicitly-declared and if:

— its class has no virtual functions ([class.virtual]) and no virtual base classes ([class.mi]), and

— all the direct base classes of its class have trivial default constructors, and

— for all the non-static data members of its class that are of class type (or array thereof), each such class has a trivial default constructor.

An implicitly-declared default constructor for class X is deleted if:

— X is a union-like class that has a variant member with a non-trivial default constructor,

— any non-static data member is of reference type,

— any non-static data member of const-qualified type (or array thereof) does not have a user-provided default constructor, or

— any non-static data member or direct or virtual base class has class type M (or array thereof) and M has no default constructor, or if overload resolution (13.3) as applied to M's default constructor, results in an ambiguity or a function that is deleted or inaccessible from the implicitly-declared default constructor.

7   A non-user-provided default constructor for a class is *implicitly defined* when it is used (3.2) to create an object of its class type ([intro.object]). The implicitly-defined or explicitly-defaulted default constructor performs the set of initializations of the class that would be performed by a user-written default constructor for that class with an empty *mem-initializer-list* ([class.base.init]) and an empty function body. If the implicitly-defined copy constructor is explicitly defaulted, but the corresponding implicit declaration would have been deleted, the program is ill-formed. If that user-written default constructor would satisfy the requirements of a constexpr constructor ([decl.constexpr]), the implicitly-defined default constructor is constexpr. Before the non-user-provided default constructor for a class is implicitly defined, all the non-user-provided default constructors for its base classes and its non-static data members shall have been implicitly defined. [*Note:* an implicitly-declared default constructor has an *exception-specification* ([except.spec]). An explicitly-defaulted definition has no implicit *exception-specification*. — *end note*]

12.3 Conversions [class.conv]

12.3.2 Conversion functions [class.conv.fct]

1 A member function of a class X having no parameters or an associated function of a concept whose sole parameter is of type X, and with a name of the form

> *conversion-function-id:*
>   operator *conversion-type-id*
>
> *conversion-type-id:*
>   *type-specifier-seq conversion-declarator$_{opt}$*
>
> *conversion-declarator:*
>   *ptr-operator conversion-declarator$_{opt}$*

specifies a conversion from X to the type specified by the *conversion-type-id*. Such ~~member~~ functions are called conversion functions. Classes, enumerations, and *typedef-name*s shall not be declared in the *type-specifier-seq*. ~~Neither parameter types nor~~No return type can be specified. The type of a conversion function (8.3.5) is "function taking no parameter (if the conversion function is a member function) or a parameter of type X (if the conversion function is an associated function) returning *conversion-type-id*." A conversion function is never used to convert a (possibly cv-qualified) object to the (possibly cv-qualified) same object type (or a reference to it), to a (possibly cv-qualified) base class of that type (or a reference to it), or to (possibly cv-qualified) void.[2]

[*Example:*

```
class X {
    // ...
public:
    operator int();
};

void f(X a)
{
    int i = int(a);
    i = (int)a;
    i = a;
}
```

In all three cases the value assigned will be converted by X::operator int(). —*end example*]

12.4 Destructors [class.dtor]

3 If a class has no user-declared destructor, a destructor is declared implicitly. An implicitly-declared destructor is an inline public member of its class. ~~If the class is a union-like class that has a variant member with a non-trivial destructor, an implicitly-declared destructor is defined as deleted ([dcl.fct.def]).~~ A destructor is *trivial* if it is implicitly-declared and if:

— all of the direct base classes of its class have trivial destructors and

---

[2] Even though never directly called to perform a conversion, such conversion functions can be declared and can potentially be reached through a call to a virtual conversion function in a base class

— for all of the non-static data members of its class that are of class type (or array thereof), each such class has a trivial destructor.

An implicitly-declared destructor for a class `X` is deleted if:

— `X` is a union-like class that has a variant member with a non-trivial destructor,

— any of the non-static data members has class type `M` (or array thereof) and `M` has an deleted destructor or a destructor that is inaccessible from the implicitly-declared destructor, or

— any direct or virtual base class has a deleted destructor or a destructor that is inaccessible from the implicitly-declared destructor.

5   An implicitly-declared destructor is *implicitly defined* when it is used to destroy an object of its class type ([basic.stc]). A program is ill-formed ~~if the class for which a destructor is implicitly defined has:~~if the implicitly-defined destructor is explicitly defaulted, but the corresponding implicit declaration would have been deleted.

— ~~a non-static data member of class type (or array thereof) with an inaccessible destructor, or~~

— ~~a base class with an inaccessible destructor.~~

Before the implicitly-declared destructor for a class is implicitly defined, all the implicitly-declared destructors for its base classes and its non-static data members shall have been implicitly defined. [*Note:* an implicitly-declared destructor has an *exception-specification* ([except.spec]). — *end note*]

12.8   Copying class objects   [class.copy]

4   If the class definition does not explicitly declare a copy constructor, one is declared *implicitly*. ~~If the class is a union-like class that has a variant member with a non-trivial copy constructor, an implicitly-declared copy constructor is defined as deleted ([dcl.fct.def]).~~ Thus, for the class definition

```
struct X {
      X(const X&, int);
};
```

a copy constructor is implicitly-declared. If the user-declared constructor is later defined as

```
X::X(const X& x, int i =0) { /*...*/ }
```

then any use of `X`'s copy constructor is ill-formed because of the ambiguity; no diagnostic is required.

5   The implicitly-declared copy constructor for a class `X` will have the form

```
X::X(const X&)
```

if

— each direct or virtual base class `B` of `X` has a copy constructor whose first parameter is of type `const B&` or `const volatile B&`, and

— for all the non-static data members of `X` that are of a class type `M` (or array thereof), each such class type has a copy constructor whose first parameter is of type `const M&` or `const volatile M&`.[3]

---

[3] This implies that the reference parameter of the implicitly-declared copy constructor cannot bind to a `volatile` lvalue; see [diff.special].

Otherwise, the implicitly declared copy constructor will have the form

```
X::X(X&)
```

An implicitly-declared copy constructor is an `inline public` member of its class. An implicitly-declared copy constructor for a class `X` is deleted if `X` has:

— a variant member with a non-trivial copy constructor and `X` is a union-like class,

— a non-static data member of class type `M` (or array thereof) that cannot be copied because overload resolution (13.3), as applied to `M`'s copy constructor, results in an ambiguity or a function that is deleted or inaccessible from the implicitly-declared copy constructor, or

— a direct or virtual base class `B` that cannot be copied because overload resolution (13.3), as applied to `B`'s copy constructor, results in an ambiguity or a function that is deleted or inaccessible from the implicitly-declared copy constructor.

7   A non-user-provided copy constructor is *implicitly defined* if it is used to initialize an object of its class type from a copy of an object of its class type or of a class type derived from its class type[4]. [*Note:* the copy constructor is implicitly defined even if the implementation elided its use ([class.temporary]). — *end note*] A program is ill-formed ~~if the class for which a copy constructor is implicitly defined or explicitly defaulted has:~~if the implicitly-defined copy constructor is explicitly defaulted, but the corresponding implicit declaration would have been deleted.

   — ~~a non-static data member of class type (or array thereof) with an inaccessible or ambiguous copy constructor, or~~

   — ~~a base class with an inaccessible or ambiguous copy constructor.~~

Before the non-user-provided copy constructor for a class is implicitly defined, all non-user-provided copy constructors for its direct and virtual base classes and its non-static data members shall have been implicitly defined. [*Note:* an implicitly-declared copy constructor has an *exception-specification* ([except.spec]). An explicitly-defaulted definitions has no implicit *exception-specifion*. — *end note*]

10 If the class definition does not explicitly declare a copy assignment operator, one is declared *implicitly*. ~~If the class is a union-like class that has a variant member with a non-trivial copy assignment operator, an implicitly-declared copy assignment operator is defined as deleted ([dcl.fct.def]).~~ The implicitly-declared copy assignment operator for a class `X` will have the form

```
X& X::operator=(const X&)
```

if

   — each direct base class `B` of `X` has a copy assignment operator whose parameter is of type `const B&`, `const volatile B&` or `B`, and

   — for all the non-static data members of `X` that are of a class type `M` (or array thereof), each such class type has a copy assignment operator whose parameter is of type `const M&`, `const volatile M&` or `M`.[5]

Otherwise, the implicitly declared copy assignment operator will have the form

```
X& X::operator=(X&)
```

---

[4] See [dcl.init] for more details on direct and copy initialization.

[5] This implies that the reference parameter of the implicitly-declared copy assignment operator cannot bind to a `volatile` lvalue; see [diff.special].

The implicitly-declared copy assignment operator for class X has the return type X&; it returns the object for which the assignment operator is invoked, that is, the object assigned to. An implicitly-declared copy assignment operator is an `inline public` member of its class. An implicitly-declared copy assignment operator for class X is deleted if X has:

— a variant member with a non-trivial copy constructor and X is a union-like class,

— a non-static data member of `const` non-class type (or array thereof), or

— a non-static data member of reference type, or

— a non-static data member of class type M (or array thereof) that cannot be copied because overload resolution (13.3), as applied to M's copy assignment operator, results in an ambiguity or a function that is deleted or inaccessible from the implicitly-declared copy assignment operator, or

— a direct or virtual base class B that cannot be copied because overload resolution (13.3), as applied to B's copy assignment operator, results in an ambiguity or a function that is deleted or inaccessible from the implicitly-declared copy assignment operator.

Because a copy assignment operator is implicitly declared for a class if not declared by the user, a base class copy assignment operator is always hidden by the copy assignment operator of a derived class ([over.ass]). A *using-declaration* (7.3.3) that brings in from a base class an assignment operator with a parameter type that could be that of a copy-assignment operator for the derived class is not considered an explicit declaration of a copy-assignment operator and does not suppress the implicit declaration of the derived class copy-assignment operator; the operator introduced by the *using-declaration* is hidden by the implicitly-declared copy-assignment operator in the derived class.

12   A non-user-provided copy assignment operator is *implicitly defined* when an object of its class type is assigned a value of its class type or a value of a class type derived from its class type. A program is ill-formed ~~if the class for which a copy assignment operator is implicitly defined has:~~ if the implicitly-defined copy assignment operator is explicitly defaulted, but the corresponding implicit declaration would have been deleted.

— ~~a non-static data member of const type, or~~

— ~~a non-static data member of reference type, or~~

— ~~a non-static data member of class type (or array thereof) with an inaccessible copy assignment operator, or~~

— ~~a base class with an inaccessible copy assignment operator.~~

Before the non-user-provided copy assignment operator for a class is implicitly defined, all non-user-provided copy assignment operators for its direct base classes and its non-static data members shall have been implicitly defined. [*Note:* an implicitly-declared copy assignment operator has an *exception-specification* ([except.spec]). An explicitly-defaulted definition has no implicit *exception-specification*. — *end note*]

12.9   Inheriting Constructors   [class.inhctor]

2   The *constructor characteristics* of a constructor or constructor template are

— the template parameter list (14.1), if any,

— the template requirements (14.10.1), if any,

— the *parameter-type-list* ([decl.fct]),

— the *exception-specification* ([except.spec]),

— absence or presence of `explicit` ([class.conv.ctor]), and

— absence or presence of `constexpr` ([dcl.constexpr]).

Chapter 13   Overloading   [over]

13.1   Overloadable declarations   [over.load]

2   Certain function declarations cannot be overloaded:

— Function declarations that differ only in the return type cannot be overloaded.

— Member function declarations with the same name ~~and~~, the same *parameter-type-list* and the same template requirements (if any), the same cannot be overloaded if any of them is a `static` member function declaration ([class.static]). Likewise, member function template declarations with the same name, the same parameter-type-list, ~~and~~ the same template parameter lists, and the same template requirements (if any) cannot be overloaded if any of them is a `static` member function template declaration. The types of the implicit object parameters constructed for the member functions for the purpose of overload resolution (13.3.1) are not considered when comparing parameter-type-lists for enforcement of this rule. In contrast, if there is no `static` member function declaration among a set of member function declarations with the same name and the same parameter-type-list, then these member function declarations can be overloaded if they differ in the type of their implicit object parameter. [*Example:* the following illustrates this distinction:

```
class X {
    static void f();
    void f();                    // ill-formed
    void f() const;              // ill-formed
    void f() const volatile;     // ill-formed
    void g();
    void g() const;              // OK: no static g
    void g() const volatile;     // OK: no static g
};
```

— *end example*]

— Member function declarations with the same name and the same *parameter-type-list* as well as member function template declarations with the same name, the same *parameter-type-list*, ~~and~~ the same template parameter lists, and the same template requirements, cannot be overloaded if any of them, but not all, have a *ref-qualifier* (8.3.5). [*Example:*

```
class Y {
    void h() &;
    void h() const &; // OK
    void h() &&; // OK, all declarations have a ref-qualifier
    void i() &;
    void i() const; // ill-formed, prior declaration of i
```

```
                                    // has a ref-qualifier
        };
```

— end example]

13.3   Overload resolution   [over.match]

13.3.1   Candidate functions and argument lists   [over.match.funcs]

1   The subclauses of 13.3.1 describe the set of candidate functions and the argument list submitted to overload resolution in
    each of the seven contexts in which overload resolution is used. [*Note:* With concepts (14.9) and constrained templates,
    the set of candidate functions can be determined by an associated function candidate set or a retained candidate set
    (14.10.3). *— end note*] The source transformations and constructions defined in these subclauses are only for the pur-
    pose of describing the overload resolution process. An implementation is not required to use such transformations and
    constructions.

13.5   Overloaded operators   [over.oper]

13.5.4   Function call   [over.call]

1   If declared in a class type, `operator()` shall be a non-static member function with an arbitrary number of parameters.
    It can have default arguments. It implements the function call syntax

> *postfix-expression* (*expression-list$_{opt}$*)

where the *postfix-expression* evaluates to a class object and the possibly empty *expression-list* matches the parameter list
of an `operator()` member function of the class. Thus, a call `x(arg1,...)` is interpreted as `x.operator()(arg1,`
`...)` for a class object x of type T if `T::operator()(T1, T2, T3)` exists and if the operator is selected as the best
match function by the overload resolution mechanism ([over.match.best]).

2   If declared in a concept or concept map, `operator()` shall be a non-member associated function with one or more
    parameters. It implements the function call syntax

> *postfix-expression* (*expression-list$_{opt}$*)

where the *postfix-expression* evaluates to an object and the possibly empty *expression-list* matches the parameter list
of the `operator()` associated function after the first parameter of the parameter list has been removed. Thus, a call
`x(arg1,...)` is interpreted as `operator()(x, arg1, ...)` for an object x of type T if `operator()(T, T1, T2, T3)`
exists and if the operator is selected as the best match function by the overload resolution mechanism ([over.match.best]).

13.5.5   Subscripting   [over.sub]

1   If declared in a class type, `operator[]` shall be a non-static member function with exactly one parameter. It implements
    the subscripting syntax

> *postfix-expression* [*expression*]

Thus, a subscripting expression `x[y]` is interpreted as `x.operator[](y)` for a class object x of type T if `T::oper-`
`ator[](T1)` exists and if the operator is selected as the best match function by the overload resolution mechanism
([over.match.best]).

2   If declared in a concept or concept map, `operator[]` shall be a non-member associated function with exactly two parameters. It implements the subscripting syntax

>   *postfix-expression* [*expression*]

Thus, a subscripting expression `x[y]` is interpreted as `operator[](x, y)` for an object x of type T if `operator[](T, T1)` exists and if the operator is selected as the best match function by the overload resolution mechanism ([over.match.best]).

13.5.6   Class member access   [over.ref]

1   If declared in a class type, `operator->` shall be a non-static member function taking no parameters. It implements class member access using `->`

>   *postfix-expression* `->` *id-expression*

An expression `x->m` is interpreted as `(x.operator->())->m` for a class object x of type T if `T::operator->()` exists and if the operator is selected as the best match function by the overload resolution mechanism (13.3).

2   If declared in a concept or concept map, `operator->` shall be a non member associated function taking exactly one parameter. It implements class member access using `->`

>   *postfix-expression* `->` *id-expression*

An expression `x->m` is interpreted as `(operator->(x))->m` for an object x of type T if `operator->(T)` exists and if the operator is selected as the best match function by the overload resolution mechanism (13.3).

13.6   Built-in operators   [over.built]

1   The candidate operator functions that represent the built-in operators defined in clause 5 are specified in this subclause. These candidate functions participate in the operator overload resolution process as described in [over.match.oper] and are used for no other purpose. Built-in operators are not defined, for archetypes (14.10.2), except as noted, even though template requirements naming compiler-supported concepts ([concept.support]) can classify archetypes as non-class types. [*Note:* because built-in operators take only operands with non-class type, and operator overload resolution occurs only when an operand expression originally has class or enumeration type, operator overload resolution can resolve to a built-in operator only when an operand has a class type that has a user-defined conversion to a non-class type appropriate for the operator, or when an operand has an enumeration type that can be converted to a type appropriate for the operator. Also note that some of the candidate operator functions given in this subclause are more permissive than the built-in operators themselves. As described in [over.match.oper], after a built-in operator is selected by overload resolution the expression is subject to the requirements for the built-in operator given in clause 5, and therefore to any additional semantic constraints given there. If there is a user-written candidate with the same name and parameter types as a built-in candidate operator function, the built-in operator function is hidden and is not included in the set of candidate functions. — *end note*]

5   For every cv-qualified or cv-unqualified object type *T*, including archetypes, there exist candidate operator functions of the form

```
T&    operator*(T*);
```

6   For every function type *T*, including archetypes, there exist candidate operator functions of the form

```
T&    operator*(T*);
```

7   For every type *T*, including archetypes for which the template requirements contain `std::PointeeType<T>,` there exist candidate operator functions of the form

```
T*    operator+(T*);
```

13 For every cv-qualified or cv-unqualified object type *T*, including archetypes, there exist candidate operator functions of the form

```
T*      operator+(T*, std::ptrdiff_t);
T&      operator[](T*, std::ptrdiff_t);
T*      operator-(T*, std::ptrdiff_t);
T*      operator+(std::ptrdiff_t, T*);
T&      operator[](std::ptrdiff_t, T*);
```

14 For every *T*, where *T* is a pointer to object type, including pointers to archetypes, there exist candidate operator functions of the form

```
std::ptrdiff_t    operator-(T*, T*);
```

15 For every pointer or enumeration type *T*, including pointers to archetypes, there exist candidate operator functions of the form

```
bool    operator<(T, T);
bool    operator>(T, T);
bool    operator<=(T, T);
bool    operator>=(T, T);
bool    operator==(T, T);
bool    operator!=(T, T);
```

16 For every pointer to member type *T*, including pointer to member types involving archetypes, there exist candidate operator functions of the form

```
bool    operator==(T, T);
bool    operator!=(T, T);
```

19 For every pair (*T*, *VQ*), where *T* is any type, including archetypes for which the template requirements contain `std::PointeeType<T>,` and *VQ* is either `volatile` or empty, there exist candidate operator functions of the form

```
T*VQ&   operator=(T*VQ&, T*);
```

20 For every pair (*T*, *VQ*), where *T* is an enumeration or pointer to member type, including pointer to member types that involve archetypes, and *VQ* is either `volatile` or empty, there exist candidate operator functions of the form

```
VQ T&   operator=(VQ T&, T);
```

21 For every pair (*T*, *VQ*), where *T* is a cv-qualified or cv-unqualified object type, including archetypes, and *VQ* is either `volatile` or empty, there exist candidate operator functions of the form

```
T*VQ&   operator+=(T*VQ&, std::ptrdiff_t);
T*VQ&   operator-=(T*VQ&, std::ptrdiff_t);
```

25   For every type *T*, where *T* is a pointer or pointer-to-member type, including pointer and pointer-to-member types that involve archetypes, there exist candidate operator functions of the form

```
T        operator?(bool, T, T);
```

Chapter 14   Templates   [temp]

1   A *template* defines a family of classes~~or functions~~, functions, or concept maps, or an alias for a family of types.

> *template-declaration:*
>   export*~opt~* template < *template-parameter-list* > *requires-clause~opt~* *declaration*

> *template-parameter-list:*
>   *template-parameter*
>   *template-parameter-list* , *template-parameter*

The *declaration* in a *template-declaration* shall

— declare or define a function or a class, or

— define a member function, a member class or a static data member of a class template or of a class nested within a class template, or

— define a member template of a class or class template, or

— be an *alias-declaration*~~.~~, or

— define a concept map.

A *template-declaration* is a *declaration*. A *template-declaration* is also a definition if its *declaration* defines a function, a class, a concept map, or a static data member.

5   A class template shall not have the same name as any other template, class, concept, function, object, enumeration, enumerator, namespace, or type in the same scope (3.3), except as specified in (14.5.5). Except that a function template can be overloaded either by (non-template) functions with the same name or by other function templates with the same name ([temp.over]), a template name declared in namespace scope or in class scope shall be unique in that scope.

Add the following new paragraphs to [temp]:

12 A *template-declaration* with a `requires` keyword is a constrained template (14.10). The *requires-clause* specifies template requirements (14.10.1).

14.1   Template parameters   [temp.param]

1   The syntax for *template-parameter*s is:

> *template-parameter:*
>   *type-parameter*
>   *parameter-declaration*
>   *constrained-template-parameter*

type-parameter:
      class $\ldots_{opt}$ *identifier*$_{opt}$
      class *identifier*$_{opt}$ = *type-id*
      typename $\ldots_{opt}$ *identifier*$_{opt}$
      typename *identifier*$_{opt}$ = *type-id*
      template < *template-parameter-list* > class $\ldots_{opt}$ *identifier*$_{opt}$
      template < *template-parameter-list* > class *identifier*$_{opt}$ = *id-expression*

constrained-template-parameter:
      ::$_{opt}$ *nested-name-specifier*$_{opt}$ *concept-name* $\ldots_{opt}$ *identifier*$_{opt}$
      ::$_{opt}$ *nested-name-specifier*$_{opt}$ *concept-name identifier*$_{opt}$ *constrained-default-argument*$_{opt}$
      ::$_{opt}$ *nested-name-specifier*$_{opt}$ *concept-name* < *simple-requirement-argument-list* > $\ldots_{opt}$ *identifier*
      ::$_{opt}$ *nested-name-specifier*$_{opt}$ *concept-name* < *simple-requirement-argument-list* > *identifier constrained-*
      default-argument$_{opt}$

constrained-default-argument:
      = *type-id*
      = *assignment-expression*
      = *id-expression*

simple-requirement-argument-list:
      auto
      auto , *template-argument-list*

4   A non-type *template-parameter* shall have one of the following (optionally *cv-qualified*) types:

— integral or enumeration type,

— pointer to object or pointer to function,

— reference to object or reference to function,

— pointer to member~~.~~, or

— in a constrained template (14.10), a type archetype T for which the concept requirement
`NonTypeTemplateParameterType<T>` ([concept.support]) is part of the template's requirements.

Add the following new paragraph to 14.1 [temp.param]

18   A *template-parameter* declared with a *concept-name* is a template type, non-type or template parameter or parameter
pack that specifies a template requirement (14.10.1) using the *simple form* of template requirements. The kind (type, non-
type or template) of the parameter is that of the first template parameter of the concept named in the *constrained-template-parameter*.
For a non-type parameter, the type is that of the first template parameter of the concept named in the *constrained-template-parameter*.
For a template parameter, the template parameter list is that of the first template parameter of the concept named in the
constrained-template-parameter. A template parameter or parameter pack written ::$_{opt}$ *nested-name-specifier*$_{opt}$ C ...$_{opt}$ T,
where C is a *concept-name*, is equivalent to a template parameter or parameter pack T declared as a *type-parameter* or
parameter-declaration with the template requirement or pack expansion ::$_{opt}$ *nested-name-specifier*$_{opt}$ C<T> $\ldots_{opt}$ added
to the template requirements. A template parameter or parameter pack written ::$_{opt}$ *nested-name-specifier*$_{opt}$ C<auto, T2, T3, ..., TN>.
is equivalent to a template parameter or parameter pack T declared as a *type-parameter* or *parameter-declaration* with the
template requirement ::$_{opt}$ *nested-name-specifier*$_{opt}$} C<T, T2, T3, ..., TN>$\ldots_{opt}$ added to the template requirements.

```
concept C<typename T> { ... }
```

```
concept D<typename T, typename U> { ... }
concept E<typename T, typename U, typename V = U> { ... }

template<C T, D<auto, T> P> void f(T, P);
// equivalent to
template<class T, class P> requires C<T> && D<P, T> void f(T, P);

template<C T, E<auto, T> P> void f(T, P);
// equivalent to
template<class T, class P> requires C<T> && E<P, T, T> void f(T, P);
```

— end example]

When the *type-parameter* is a template type parameter pack, the equivalent requirement is a pack expansion (14.5.3).
[*Example:*

```
concept C<typename T> { }

template<C... Args> void g(Args const&...);
// equivalent to
template<typename... Args> requires C<Args>... void g(Args const&...);
```

— end example]

14.4   Type equivalence   [temp.type]

Add the following new paragraph to 14.4 [temp.type]

2   In a constrained context (14.10), two types are the same type if some same-type requirement makes them equivalent (14.10.1).

14.5   Template declarations   [temp.decls]

14.5.1   Class templates   [temp.class]

Add the following new paragraph to 14.5.1 [temp.class]

5   A constrained member (9.2) in a class template is declared only in class template specializations in which its template requirements (14.10.1) are satisfied. If there exist multiple overloads of the constrained member with identical signatures, ignoring the template requirements, and identical return types, only the most specialized overload, as determined by partial ordering of the template requirements (14.5.6.2), will be declared in the instantiation. If partial ordering results in an ambiguity, a deleted function with the given signature (without any template requirements) will be declared in the instantiation. [*Example:*

```
auto concept LessThanComparable<typename T> {
  bool operator<(T, T);
}

concept Radix<typename T> : LessThanComparable<T> { /* ... */ }

template<typename T>
struct list {
```

```
  requires LessThanComparable<T> void sort(); // #1
  requires Radix<T> void sort(); // #2
};

struct X { };
concept_map Radix<int> { /* ... */ }

void f(list<float> lf, list<int> li, list<X> lX)
{
  lf.sort(); // okay: LessThanComparable<float> implicitly defined, calls #1
  li.sort(); // okay: calls #2, which is more specialized than #1
  lX.sort(); // error: no 'sort' member in list<X>
}
```

— end example]

14.5.2   Member templates   [temp.mem]

10   A member template of a constrained class template is itself a constrained template (14.10). The template requirements of the member template are the template requirements of each of its enclosing constrained templates and any requirements specified or implied by the member template itself.

14.5.3   Variadic templates   [temp.variadic]

1   A *template parameter pack* is a template parameter that accepts zero or more template arguments. [*Example:*

```
template<class ... Types> struct Tuple { };

Tuple<> t0;              // Types contains no arguments
Tuple<int> t1;          // Types contains one argument: int
Tuple<int, float> t2;   // Types contains two arguments: int and float
Tuple<0> eror;          // error: 0 is not a type
```

— end example]

[*Note:* a template parameter pack can also occur in a concept's template parameter list (14.9.1). [*Example:*

```
auto concept Callable<typename F, typename... Args> {
  typename result_type;
  result_type operator()(F&, Args...);
}
```

— end example] *— end note*]

4   A *pack expansion* is a sequence of tokens that names one or more parameter packs, followed by an ellipsis. The sequence of tokens is called the *pattern of the expansion*; its syntax depends on the context in which the expansion occurs. Pack expansions can occur in the following contexts:

— In an *expression-list* (5.2); the pattern is an *assignment-expression*.

— In an *initializer-list* ([dcl.init]); the pattern is an *initializer-clause*.

— In a *base-specifier-list* ([class.derived]); the pattern is a *base-specifier*.

— In a *mem-initializer-list* ([class.base.init]); the pattern is a *mem-initializer*.

— In a *template-argument-list* ([temp.arg]); the pattern is a *template-argument*.

— In an *exception-specification* ([except.spec]); the pattern is a *type-id*.

— In a *requirement-list* (14.10.1); the pattern is a *requirement*.

6   The instantiation of an expansion produces a ~~comma-separated~~ list $E_1,\oplus\ E_2,\oplus\ ...,\oplus\ E_N$, where N is the number of elements in the pack expansion parameters and $\oplus$ is the syntactically-appropriate separator for the list. Each E_i is generated by instantiating the pattern and replacing each pack expansion parameter with its ith element. All of the E_i become elements in the enclosing list. [*Note:* The variety of list varies with the context: *expression-list*, *base-specifier-list*, *template-argument-list*, *requirement-list,* etc. — *end note*]

14.5.5   Class template partial specializations   [temp.class.spec]

9   Within the argument list of a class template partial specialization, the following restrictions apply:

— A partially specialized non-type argument expression shall not involve a template parameter of the partial specialization except when the argument expression is a simple *identifier*. [*Example:*

```
template <int I, int J> struct A {};
template <int I> struct A<I+5, I*2> {}; // error

template <int I, int J> struct B {};
template <int I> struct B<I, I> {};      // OK
```

— *end example*]

— The type of a template parameter corresponding to a specialized non-type argument shall not be dependent on a parameter of the specialization. [*Example:*

```
template <class T, T t> struct C {};
template <class T> struct C<T, 1>;                   // error

template< int X, int (*array_ptr)[X] > class A {};
int array[5];
template< int X > class A<X,&array> { };             // error
```

— *end example*]

— The argument list of the specialization shall not be identical to the implicit argument list of the primary template, unless the specialization contains template requirements that are more specific (14.5.6.2) than the primary template's requirements. [*Example:*

```
concept Hashable<typename T> { int hash(T); }

template<typename T> class X { /* ... */ }; // #6
template<typename T> requires Hashable<T> class X<T> { /* ... */ }; //#7, okay
```

— *end example*]

The template parameter list of a specialization shall not contain default template argument values.[6)]

— An argument shall not contain an unexpanded parameter pack. If an argument is a pack expansion (14.5.3), it shall be the last argument in the template argument list.

10   The template requirements of a primary class template are implied (14.10.1.2) in its class template partial specializations that are constrained templates. [*Example:*

```
concept LessThanComparable<typename T> { /* ... */ }
concept Hashable<typename T> { /* ... */ }

template<typename T> requires LessThanComparable<T> class Y { /* ... */ };
template<typename T>
  requires Hashable<T> // same as requires LessThanComparable<T> && Hashable<T>
  class Y<T> { /* ... */ };
```

— end example]

14.5.5.1   Matching of class template partial specializations   [temp.class.spec.match]

2   A partial specialization matches a given actual template argument list if the template arguments of the partial specialization can be deduced from the actual template argument list (14.8.2) and the deduced template arguments satisfy the partial specialization's template requirements (if any). [*Example:*

```
A<int, int, 1>   a1;        // uses #1
A<int, int*, 1>  a2;        // uses #2, T is int, I is 1
A<int, char*, 5> a3;        // uses #4, T is char
A<int, char*, 1> a4;        // uses #5, T1 is int, T2 is char, I is 1
A<int*, int*, 2> a5;        // ambiguous: matches #3 and #5

concept_map Hashable<int> { /* ... */ }
struct Y { };

X<int> x1;                  // uses #7
X<Y> x2;                    // uses #6
```

— end example]

4   In a type name that refers to a class template specialization, (e.g., A<int, int, 1>) the argument list must match the template parameter list of the primary template. If the primary template has template requirements, the arguments shall satisfy those requirements. The template arguments of a specialization are deduced from the arguments of the primary template.

14.5.5.2   Partial ordering of class template specializations   [temp.class.order]

2   [*Example:*

```
concept Con1<typename T> { }
concept Con2<typename T> :  Con1<T> { }
```

[6)] There is no way in which they could be used.

```
template<int I, int J, class T> class X { };
template<int I, int J>          class X<I, J, int> { }; // #1
template<int I>                 class X<I, I, int> { }; // #2
template<int I, int J, class T> requires Con1<T> class X<I, J, T> { }; // #3
template<int I, int J, class T> requires Con2<T> class X<I, J, T> { }; // #4

template<int I, int J> void f(X<I, J, int>);         // #A
template<int I>        void f(X<I, I, int>);         // #B
template<int I, int J, class T> requires Con1<T> void f(X<I, J, T>); // C
template<int I, int J, class T> requires Con2<T> void f(X<I, J, T>); // D
```

The partial specialization #2 is more specialized than the partial specialization #1 because the function template #B is more specialized than the function template #A according to the ordering rules for function templates. The partial specialization #4 is more specialized than the partial specialization #3 because the function template D is more specialized than the function template C according to the partial ordering rules for function templates. — *end example*]

14.5.6   Function templates   [temp.fct]

14.5.6.1   Function template overloading   [temp.over.link]

6   Two function templates are *equivalent* if they are declared in the same scope, have the same name, have identical template parameter lists, have identical template requirements (if any), and have return types and parameter lists that are equivalent using the rules described above to compare expressions involving template parameters. Two function templates are *functionally equivalent* if they are equivalent except that one or more expressions that involve template parameters in the return types, ~~and~~ parameter lists, and template requirements (if any) are functionally equivalent using the rules described above to compare expressions involving template parameters. If a program contains declarations of function templates that are functionally equivalent but not equivalent, the program is ill-formed; no diagnostic is required.

14.5.6.2   Partial ordering of function templates   [temp.func.order]

2   Partial ordering selects which of two function templates is more specialized than the other by transforming each template in turn (see next paragraph) and performing template argument deduction using the function parameter types, or in the case of a conversion function the return type. [*Note:* if template argument deduction succeeds, the deduced arguments were used to determine if the requirements of the template are satisfied. — *end note*] The deduction process determines whether one of the templates is more specialized than the other. If so, the more specialized template is the one chosen by the partial ordering process.

3   To produce the transformed template, for each type, non-type, or template template parameter (including template parameter packs thereof) synthesize a unique type, value, or class template respectively and substitute it for each occurrence of that parameter in the function type of the template. When the template is a constrained template, the unique type is an archetype and concept map archetypes for each of the requirements stated in or implied by its template requirements are also synthesized; see 14.10. [*Note:* because the unique types are archetypes, two template type parameters may share the same archetype due to same-type constraints. — *end note*]

4   Using the transformed function template's function parameter list, or in the case of a conversion function its transformed return type, perform type deduction against the function parameter list (or return type) of the other function. The mechanism for performing these deductions is given in [temp.deduct.partial].

[*Example:*

```
template<class T> struct A { A(); };

template<class T> void f(T);
template<class T> void f(T*);
template<class T> void f(const T*);

template<class T> void g(T);
template<class T> void g(T&);

template<class T> void h(const T&);
template<class T> void h(A<T>&);
void m() {
  int *p;
  f(p);                     // f(const T*) is more specialized than f(T) or f(T*)
  float x;
  g(x);                     // Ambiguous: g(T) or g(T&)
  A<int> z;
  h(z);                     // overload resolution selects h(A<T>&)
  const A<int> z2;
  h(z2);                    // h(const T&) is called because h(A<T>&) is not callable
}
```

— end example]

[*Note:* when two constrained templates have identical signatures (ignoring template requirements), the partial ordering is based on those template requirements. Similarly, a constrained template is more specialized than an unconstrained template because it has more stringent requirements. *— end note*] [*Example:*

```
auto concept CopyConstructible<typename T> {
  T::T(const T&);
}

template<CopyConstructible T> struct A { A(); };

concept C<typename T> { }
concept D<typename T> : C<T> { }
concept_map C<int*> { }
concept_map D<float> { }
template<typename T> concept_map D<A<T>> { }

template<class T> requires C<T> void f(T&) { } // #1
template<class T> requires D<T> void f(T&) { } // #2
template<class T> requires C<A<T>> void f(A<T>&) { } // #3
template<class T> void f(T&); // #4

void m() {
  int *p;
  f(p);          // calls #1: template argument deductions fails #2 and #3, and #1 is more specialized than #4
  float x;
  f(x);          // #2 is called because #3 is not callable and #2 is more specialized than #1 and #4
```

```
    A<int> z;
    f(z);              // ambiguous: no partial ordering between #2 and #3
}
```

— *end example*]

Add the following new subsection to Template declarations [temp.decls]

14.5.8   Concept map templates   [temp.concept.map]

1   A *concept map template* defines an unbounded set of concept maps with a common set of associated function, associated type, and associated class template definitions. [*Example:*

```
concept F<typename T> {
  typename type;
  type f(T);
}

template<typename T>
concept_map F<T*> {
  typedef T& type;
  T& f(T*);
}
```

— *end example*]

2   A concept map template is a constrained template (14.10) [*Note:* a concept map template is a constrained template even if it does not have template requirements. — *end note*]

3   Within the *template-argument-list* of the *concept-id* in a concept map template (including nested template argument lists), the following restrictions apply:

— A non-type argument expression shall not involve a template parameter of the concept map except when the argument expression is a simple *identifier*.

— The type of a template parameter corresponding to a non-type argument shall not be dependent on a parameter of the concept map.

— The template parameter list of a concept map template shall not contain default template argument values.[7]

4   During concept map lookup (14.10.1.1), concept map matching determines whether a particular concept map template can be used. Concept map matching matches the template arguments in the *concept instance* to the template arguments in the concept map template, using matching of class template partial specializations (14.5.5.1).

5   For two concept map templates, the first is at least as specialized as the second if, given the following rewrite to two class template partial specializations of an invented class template X, the first class template partial specialization is at least as specialized as the second according to the rules for partial ordering of class template partial specializations (14.5.5.2). The primary class template X has the same template parameters as the concept of the concept map templates. The class template partial specializations are constrained templates, even if the corresponding concept map templates have no requirements specified.

---

[7] There is no way in which they could be used.

    — the first class template partial specialization has the same template parameters and template arguments as the first concept map template, and

    — the second class template has the same template parameters and template arguments as the second concept map template.

[*Example:*

```
concept C<typename T> { }
concept Ptr<typename T> { }
template<typename T> concept_map Ptr<T*> { /* ... */ }

template<typename T> requires Ptr<T*> concept_map C<T*> { /* ... */ } // #1
template<typename T> requires Ptr<T> concept_map C<T> { /* ... */ } // #2

template<typename T> class X;
template<typename T> requires Ptr<T*> class X<T*>; // A
template<typename T> requires Ptr<T> class X<T>; // B
```

The concept map template #1 is more specialized than the concept map template #2 because the class template partial specialization A is more specialized than the class template partial specialization B according to the ordering rules for class template partial specializations. — *end example*]

6   A concept map template shall satisfy the requirements of its corresponding concept (14.9.2) at the time of definition of the concept map template. [*Example:*

```
concept C<typename T> { }

concept F<typename T> {
  void f(T);
}

template<C T> struct X;

template<F T> void f(X<T>); // #1

template<typename T>
concept_map F<X<T>> { } // error: requirement for f(X<T>) not satisfied

template<F T>
concept_map F<X<T>> { } // okay: uses #1 to satisfy requirement for f(X<T>)
```

— *end example*]

7   If the definition of a concept map template uses an instantiated archetype (14.10.2), and instantiation of the concept map template results in a different specialization of that class template with an incompatible definition, the program is ill-formed. The specialization is considered to have an incompatible definition if the specialization's definition causes a different definition of any associated type or associated class template in the concept map, if its definition causes any of the associated function definitions to be ill-formed, or if the resulting concept map fails to satisfy the axioms of the corresponding concept. [*Example:*

```
concept Stack<typename X> {
  typename value_type;
  value_type& top(X&);
  // ...
}

template<typename T> struct dynarray {
  T& top();
};

template<> struct dynarray<bool> {
  bool top();
};

template<typename T>
concept_map Stack<dynarray<T>> {
  typedef T value_type;
  T& top(dynarray<T>& x) { return x.top(); }
}

template<Stack X>
void f(X& x) {
  X::value_type& t = top(x);
}

void g(dynarray<int>& x1, dynarray<bool>& x2) {
  f(x1); // okay
  f(x2); // error: Stack<dynarray<bool> > uses the dynarray<bool> class specialization
         // rather than the dynarray primary class template, and the two
         // have incompatible signatures for top()
}
```

— end example]

8   A concept map template shall be declared before the first use of a concept map that would make use of the concept map template as the result of an instantiation in every translation unit in which such a use occurs; no diagnostic is required.

14.6   Name resolution   [temp.res]

3   When a *qualified-id* is intended to refer to a type that is not a member of the current instantiation (14.6.2.1) and its *nested-name-specifier* is not a concept instance (14.9) and depends on a *template-parameter* (14.6.2), it shall be prefixed by the keyword `typename`, forming a *typename-specifier*. If the *qualified-id* in a *typename-specifier* does not denote a type, the program is ill-formed. When the *nested-name-specifier* refers to a concept instance, name lookup into the corresponding concept determines whether the *qualified-id* refers to a type or a value.

14.6.2   Dependent names   [temp.dep]

14.6.2.2   Type-dependent expressions   [temp.dep.expr]

3   An *id-expression* is type-dependent if it contains:

— an *identifier* that was declared with a dependent type,

— a *template-id* that is dependent,

— a *conversion-function-id* that specifies a dependent type,

— a *nested-name-specifier* or a *qualified-id* that names a member of an unknown specialization.

— an *identifier*, *nested-name-specifier*, or a *qualified-id* that names a member of the current instantiation that is a constrained member (9.2).

14.6.3   Non-dependent names   [temp.nondep]

Add the following new paragraph to Non-dependent names [temp.nondep]

2   [*Note:* if a template contains template requirements, name lookup of non-dependent names in its constrained contexts (14.10) can find the names of associated functions in the requirements scope (3.3.9). —*end note*]

14.7   Template instantiation and specialization   [temp.spec]

1   The act of instantiating a function, a class, a concept map, a member of a class template or a member template is referred to as *template instantiation*.

2   A function instantiated from a function template is called an instantiated function. A class instantiated from a class template is called an instantiated class. A concept map instantiated from a concept map template is called an instantiated concept map. A member function, a member class, or a static data member of a class template instantiated from the member definition of the class template is called, respectively, an instantiated member function, member class or static data member. A member function instantiated from a member function template is called an instantiated member function. A member class instantiated from a member class template is called an instantiated member class.

14.7.1   Implicit instantiation   [temp.inst]

5   If the overload resolution process can determine the correct function to call without instantiating a class template definition or concept map template definition, it is unspecified whether that instantiation actually takes place. [*Example:*

```
template <class T> struct S {
  operator int();
};

void f(int);
void f(S<int>&);
void f(S<float>);

void g(S<int>& sr) {
  f(sr);                    // instantiation of S<int> allowed but not required
        // instantiation of S<float> allowed but not required
};
```

—*end example*]

9   An implementation shall not implicitly instantiate a function template, a member template, a non-virtual member function, concept map template, a member class or a static data member of a class template that does not require

instantiation. It is unspecified whether or not an implementation implicitly instantiates a virtual member function of a class template if the virtual member function would not otherwise be instantiated. The use of a template specialization in a default argument shall not cause the template to be implicitly instantiated except that a class template may be instantiated where its complete type is needed to determine the correctness of the default argument. The use of a default argument in a function call causes specializations in the default argument to be implicitly instantiated.

10 Implicitly instantiated class, concept map, and function template specializations are placed in the namespace where the template is defined. Implicitly instantiated specializations for members of a class template are placed in the namespace where the enclosing class template is defined. Implicitly instantiated member templates are placed in the namespace where the enclosing class or class template is defined. [*Example:*

```
namespace N {
  template<class T> class List {
  public:
    T* get();
  // ...
  };
}

template<class K, class V> class Map {
  N::List<V> lt;
  V get(K);
  // ...
};

void g(Map<char*,int>& m)
{
  int i = m.get("Nicholas");
  // ...
}
```

a call of `lt.get()` from `Map<char*,int>::get()` would place `List<int>::get()` in the namespace `N` rather than in the global namespace. —*end example*]

Add the following new paragraph to [temp.inst]

15 If no concept map exists for a given concept instance, and there exists a concept map template that matches the concept instance, the concept map is implicitly instantiated when the concept map is referenced in a context that requires the concept map definition, either to satisfy a concept requirement (14.10.1) or when the *nested-name-specifier* of a *qualified-id* references a concept instance (3.4.3.3).

14.7.2   Explicit specialization   **[temp.expl.spec]**

Add the following new paragraph to [temp.expl.spec]:

23 [*Note:* The template arguments provided for an explicit specialization shall satisfy the template requirements of the primary template (14.5.5.1). [*Example:*

```
concept C<typename T> { }
concept_map C<float> { }

template<typename T> requires C<T> void f(T);
```

```
template<> void f<float>(float); // okay: concept_map C<float> satisfies requirement
template<> void f<int>(int); // ill-formed: no concept map satisfies the requirement for C<int>
```

— end example] *— end note*]

14.8   Function template specializations   **[temp.fct.spec]**

14.8.2   Template argument deduction   **[temp.deduct]**

2   If the reference to the template occurs within a constrained context (14.10) and the template is an unconstrained template, type deduction fails.

3   When an explicit template argument list is specified, the template arguments must be compatible with the template parameter list and must result in a valid function type as described below; otherwise type deduction fails. Specifically, the following steps are performed when evaluating an explicitly specified template argument list with respect to a given function template:

— The specified template arguments must match the template parameters in kind (i.e., type, non-type, template). There must not be more arguments than there are parameters, unless at least one parameter is a template parameter pack, and there shall be an argument for each non-pack parameter. Otherwise type deduction fails.

— Non-type arguments must match the types of the corresponding non-type template parameters, or must be convertible to the types of the corresponding non-type parameters as specified in [temp.arg.nontype], otherwise type deduction fails.

— All references in the function type and template requirements of the function template to the corresponding template parameters are replaced by the specified template argument values. If a substitution in a template parameter, the template requirements (if any), or in the function type of the function template results in an invalid type, type deduction fails. [Note: The equivalent substitution in exception specifications is done only when the function is instantiated, at which point a program is ill-formed if the substitution results in an invalid type.] Type deduction may fail for the following reasons:

  — Attempting to instantiate a pack expansion containing multiple parameter packs of differing lengths.

  — Attempting to create an array with an element type that is `void`, a function type, a reference type, or an abstract class type, or attempting to create an array with a size that is zero or negative. [*Example:*

    ```
    template <class T> int f(T[5]);
    int I = f<int>(0);
    int j = f<void>(0);              // invalid array
    ```

    — end example]

  — Attempting to use a type that is not a class type in a qualified name. [*Example:*

    ```
    template <class T> int f(typename T::B*);
    int i = f<int>(0);
    ```

    — end example]

  — Attempting to use a type in a nested-name-specifier of a qualified-id when that type does not contain the specified member, or

— the specified member is not a type where a type is required, or

— the specified member is not a template where a template is required, or

— the specified member is not a non-type where a non-type is required.

[*Example:*

```
template <int I> struct X { };
template <template <class T> class> struct Z { };
template <class T> void f(typename T::Y*){}
template <class T> void g(X<T::N>*){}
template <class T> void h(Z<T::template TT>*){}
struct A {};
struct B { int Y; };
struct C {
    typedef int N;
};
struct D {
    typedef int TT;
};

int main()
{
    // Deduction fails in each of these cases:
    f<A>(0);   // A does not contain a member Y
    f<B>(0);   // The Y member of B is not a type
    g<C>(0);   // The N member of C is not a non-type
    h<D>(0);   // The TT member of D is not a template
}
```

— *end example*]

— Attempting to create a pointer to reference type.

— Attempting to create a reference to `void`.

— Attempting to create "pointer to member of T" when T is not a class type. [*Example:*

```
template <class T> int f(int T::*);
int i = f<int>(0);
```

— *end example*]

— Attempting to give an invalid type to a non-type template parameter. [*Example:*

```
template <class T, T> struct S {};
template <class T> int f(S<T, T()>*);
struct X {};
int i0 = f<X>(0);
```

— *end example*]

— Attempting to perform an invalid conversion in either a template argument expression, or an expression used in the function declaration. [*Example:*

```
template <class T, T*> int f(int);int i2 = f<int,1>(0); // can't conv 1 to int*
```

— *end example*]

— Attempting to create a function type in which a parameter has a type of `void`.

— Attempting to use a type in a *nested-name-specifier* of a *qualified-id* that refers to a member in a concept instance, for which concept map lookup (14.10.1.1) does not find a concept map corresponding to that concept instance.

— Attempting to use a class or function template with template arguments that do not satisfy that template's requirements. [*Example:*

```
concept C<typename T> { /* ... */ }

template<typename T> requires C<T> class X { /* ... */ };

template<typename T> int f(X<T>*); // #1
template<typename> int f(...); // #2
int i0 = f<int>(0); // okay: calls #2
```

— *end example*]

6 When ~~all template arguments have~~ each template argument has been explicitly specified, deduced or obtained from default template arguments, ~~all uses of template parameters in non-deduced contexts are~~ each use of a template parameter in the function type and in each template requirement is replaced with the corresponding ~~deduced or default~~ argument value~~s~~. If the substitution results in an invalid type, as described above, type deduction fails. If a substituted requirement cannot be satisfied (14.10.1.1), type deduction fails.

Add the following new sections to 14 [temp]:

14.9 Concepts [concept]

1 Concepts describe an abstract interface that can be used to constrain templates (14.10). Concepts state certain syntactic and semantic requirements (14.9.1) on a set of template type, non-type, and template template parameters.

> *concept-id:*
>   *concept-name* < *template-argument-list$_{opt}$* >

> *concept-name:*
>   *identifier*

2 A *concept-id* names a specific use of a concept by its *concept-name* and a set of template arguments. The concept and its template arguments, together, are referred to as a *concept instance*. [*Example:* `CopyConstructible<int>` is a *concept-id* if name lookup (3.4) determines that the identifier `CopyConstructible` refers to a *concept-name*; then, `CopyConstructible<int>` is a concept instance that refers to the `CopyConstructible` concept used with the type `int`. — *end example*]

3   A concept is a *constrained template* (14.10). The template requirements for the concept consist of a concept requirement for the concept's concept instance (14.10.1) and the template requirements implied by that concept requirement (14.10.1.2).

14.9.1   Concept definitions   [concept.def]

1   The grammar for a *concept-definition* is:

> *concept-definition:*
>   auto*~opt~* concept *identifier* < *template-parameter-list* > *refinement-clause~opt~ concept-body* ;*~opt~*

2   *Concept-definition*s are used to declare *concept-name*s. A *concept-name* is inserted into the scope in which it is declared immediately after the *concept-name* is seen. A concept is considered defined after the closing brace of its *concept-body*. A *full concept name* is an identifier that is treated as if it were composed of the concept name and the sequence of its enclosing namespaces.

3   Concepts shall only be defined at namespace scope.

4   A *concept-definition* that starts with auto defines an *implicit concept*, otherwise it defines an *explicit concept*.

5   The *template-parameter-list* of a *concept-definition* shall not contain any requirements specified in the simple form (14.10.1).

6

> *concept-body:*
>   { *concept-member-specification~opt~* }
>
> *concept-member-specification:*
>   *concept-member-specifier concept-member-specification~opt~*
>
> *concept-member-specifier:*
>   *associated-function*
>   *type-parameter* ;
>   *associated-requirements*
>   *axiom-definition*

The body of a concept contains associated functions (14.9.1.1), associated types (14.9.1.2), associated class templates, associated requirements (14.9.1.3), and axioms (14.9.1.3). A name x declared in the body of a concept shall refer to only one of: an associated type, an associated class template, an axiom, or one or more associated functions that have been overloaded (clause 13).

14.9.1.1   Associated functions   [concept.fct]

1   Associated functions describe functions, member functions, or operators (including templates thereof) that specify the functional behavior of the concept's template arguments and associated types and class templates (14.9.1.2). A concept map (14.9.2) for a given concept must satisfy each associated function in the concept (14.9.2.1).

> *associated-function:*
>   *simple-declaration*
>   *function-definition*
>   *template-declaration*

2   An *associated-function* shall declare a function or function template. If the *declarator-id* of the declaration is a *qualified-id*, its *nested-name-specifier* shall name a template parameter of the enclosing concept; the declaration declares a member

function or member function template. An associated function shall not be `extern`, inline or `virtual` ([dcl.fct.spec]), explicitly-defaulted or deleted ([dcl.fct.def]), or a friend function ([class.friend]). An associated function shall not contain an *exception-specification* ([except.spec]).

3   Associated functions may specify requirements for non-member functions and operators. [*Example:*

```
concept Monoid<typename T> {
  T operator+(T, T);
  T identity();
}
```

— *end example*]

4   With the exception of the assignment operator ([over.ass]) and operators `new`, `new[]`, `delete`, and `delete[]`, associated functions shall specify requirements for operators as non-member functions. [*Note:* This restriction applies even to the operators `()`, `[]`, and `->`, which can otherwise only be declared as non-static member functions (13.5): [*Example:*

```
concept Convertible<typename T, typename U> {
  operator U(T); // okay: conversion from T to U
  T::operator U*() const; // error: cannot specify requirement for member operator
}
```

— *end example*] — *end note*]

5   Associated functions may specify requirements for static or non-static member functions, constructors, and destructors. [*Example:*

```
concept Container<typename X> {
  X::X(int n);
  X::~X();
  bool X::empty() const;
  static size_t X::max_size();
}
```

— *end example*]

6   Associated functions may specify requirements for `new` and `delete`. [*Example:*

```
concept HeapAllocatable<typename T> {
  void* T::operator new(std::size_t);
  void* T::operator new[](std::size_t);
  void T::operator delete(void*);
  void T::operator delete[](void*);
}
```

— *end example*]

7   Associated functions may specify requirements for function templates and member function templates. [*Example:*

```
concept Sequence<typename X> {
  typename value_type;
```

```
template<InputIterator Iter>
  requires Convertible<InputIterator<Iter>::value_type, Sequence<X>::value_type>
  X::X(Iter first, Iter last);
}
```

— end example]

8 Concepts may contain overloaded associated functions (clause 13). [*Example:*

```
concept C<typename X> {
  void f(X);
  void f(X, X); // okay
  int f(X, X); // error: differs only by return type
}
```

— end example]

9 Associated member functions with the same name and the same *parameter-type-list*, as well as associated member function templates with the same name, the same *parameter-type-list*, the same template parameter lists, and the same template requirements (if any), cannot be overloaded if any of them, but not all, have a *ref-qualifier* (8.3.5).

10 Associated functions may have a default implementation. This implementation will be instantiated when used. A default implementation of an associated function is a constrained template (14.10) whose template requirements include concept requirements for the enclosing concept, its refinements, along with its associated requirements. [*Example:*

```
concept EqualityComparable<typename T> {
  bool operator==(T, T);
  bool operator!=(T x, T y) { return !(x == y); }
}

class X {};
bool operator==(const X&, const X&);

concept_map EqualityComparable<X> { } // okay, operator!= uses default
```

— end example]

14.9.1.2 Associated types and class templates   [concept.assoc]

1 Associated types and associated class templates are types and class templates, respectively, defined in the concept body and used in the description of the concept.

2 An associated type specifies a type in a concept body. Associated types are typically used to express the parameter and return types of associated functions. [*Example:*

```
concept Callable1<typename F, typename T1> {
  typename result_type;
  result_type operator()(F&&, T1);
}
```

— end example]

3 An associated class template specifies a class template in a concept. [*Example:*

```
concept C<typename T> {
  template<ObjectType U> class X;
}
```

— *end example*]

4   Associated types and class templates may be provided with a default value. The default value will be used to satisfy the associated type or class template requirement when no corresponding definition is provided in a concept map (14.9.2.2). [*Example:*

```
concept Iterator<typename Iter> {
  typename difference_type = int;
}

concept_map Iterator<int*> { } // okay, difference_type is int
```

— *end example*]

5   Associated types and class templates may use the simple form to specify requirements (14.10.1) on the associated type or class template. The simple form is equivalent to a declaration of the associated type or class template followed by an associated requirement (14.9.1.3) stated using the general form (14.10.1). [*Example:*

```
concept InputIterator<typename Iter> { /* ... */ }

concept Container<typename X> {
  InputIterator iterator; // same as typename iterator; requires InputIterator<iterator>;
}
```

— *end example*]

14.9.1.3   Associated requirements   [concept.req]

1   Associated requirements place additional requirements on the concept's template parameters, associated types, and associated class templates. Associated requirements have the same form and behavior as template requirements in a constrained template (14.10).

> *associated-requirements:*
>   *requires-clause* ;

[*Example:*

```
concept Iterator<typename Iter> {
  typename difference_type;
  requires SignedIntegral<difference_type>;
}
```

— *end example*]

14.9.1.4   Axioms   [concept.axiom]

1   Axioms allow the expression of the semantic properties of concepts.

axiom-definition:
        requires-clause$_{opt}$ `axiom` *identifier* (*parameter-declaration-clause*) *axiom-body*

axiom-body:
        { *axiom-seq*$_{opt}$ }

axiom-seq:
        axiom axiom-seq$_{opt}$

axiom:
        expression-statement
        `if` (*expression*) *expression-statement*

An *axiom-definition* defines a new semantic axiom whose name is specified by its *identifier*. [*Example:*

```
concept Semigroup<typename Op, typename T> : CopyConstructible<T> {
  T operator()(Op, T, T);

  axiom Associativity(Op op, T x, T y, T z) {
    op(x, op(y, z)) == op(op(x, y), z);
  }
}

concept Monoid<typename Op, typename T> : Semigroup<Op, T> {
  T identity_element(Op);

  axiom Identity(Op op, T x) {
    op(x, identity_element(op)) == x;
    op(identity_element(op), x) == x;
  }
}
```

— end example]

2   Within the body of an *axiom-definition*, equality (==) and inequality (!=) operators are available for each concept type parameter and associated type T. These implicitly-defined operators have the form:

```
bool operator==(const T&, const T&);
bool operator!=(const T&, const T&);
```

[*Example:*

```
concept CopyConstructible<typename T> {
  T::T(const T&);

  axiom CopyEquivalence(T x) {
    T(x) == x; // okay, uses implicit ==
  }
}
```

— end example]

3   Name lookup within an axiom will only find the implicitly-declared `==` and `!=` operators if the corresponding operation is not declared as an associated function (14.9.1.1) in the concept, one of the concepts it refines (14.9.3), or in an associated requirement (14.9.1.3). [*Example:*

```
concept EqualityComparable<typename T> {
  bool operator==(T, T);
  bool operator!=(T, T);

  axiom Reflexivity(T x) {
    x == x; // okay: refers to EqualityComparable<T>::operator==
  }
}
```

   — *end example*]

4   Where axioms state the equality of two expressions, implementations are permitted to replace one expression with the other. [*Example:*

```
template<typename Op, typename T> requires Monoid<Op, T>
  T identity(const Op& op, const T& t) {
    return op(t, identity_element(op)); // equivalent to "return t;"
  }
```

   — *end example*]

5   Axioms can state conditional semantics using `if` statements. The *expression* is contextually converted to `bool` (clause [conv]). When the condition can be proven true, and the *expression-statement* states the equality of two expressions, implementations are permitted to replace one expression with the other. [*Example:*

```
concept TotalOrder<typename Op, typename T> {
  bool operator()(Op, T, T);

  axiom Antisymmetry(Op op, T x, T y) { if (op(x, y) && op(y, x)) x == y; }
  axiom Transitivity(Op op, T x, T y, T z) { if (op(x, y) && op(y, z)) op(x, z) == true; }
  axiom Totality(Op op, T x, T y) { (op(x, y) || op(y, x)) == true; }
}
```

   — *end example*]

6   An axiom containing a *requires-clause* only applies when the specified template requirements are satisfied. [*Example:*

```
concept EqualityComparable2<typename T, typename U = T> {
  bool operator==(T, U);
  bool operator!=(T, U);

  requires SameType<T, U> axiom Reflexivity(T x) {
      x == x; // okay: T and U have the same type
    }
}
```

   — *end example*]

7   Whether an implementation replaces any expression according to an axiom is implementation-defined. With the exception of such substitutions, the presence of an axiom shall have no effect on the observable behavior of the program. [*Note:* the intent of axioms is to provide a mechanism to express the semantics of concepts. Such semantic information can be used for optimization, software verification, software testing, and other program analyses and transformations, all of which are outside the scope of this International Standard. — *end note*]

14.9.2   Concept maps   [concept.map]

1   The grammar for a *concept-map-definition* is:

> *concept-map-definition:*
> > concept_map ::*opt* *nested-name-specifier**opt* *concept-id* { *concept-map-member-specification**opt* } ;*opt*
>
> *concept-map-member-specification:*
> > *concept-map-member concept-map-member-specification**opt*
>
> *concept-map-member:*
> > *simple-declaration*
> > *function-definition*
> > *template-declaration*

2   Concept maps describe how a set of template arguments satisfy the requirements stated in the body of a concept definition (14.9.1). For template argument deduction ([temp.deduct.type]) against a constrained template to succeed, each of the template's requirements shall be satisfied ([temp.req.set]). The concept map is inserted into the scope in which the concept map or concept map template (14.5.8) is defined immediately after the *concept-id* is seen. The name of the concept map is the full concept name of the concept in the corresponding concept instance. [*Example:*

```
class student_record {
public:
  std::string id;
  std::string name;
  std::string address;
};

concept EqualityComparable<typename T> {
  bool operator==(T, T);
}

concept_map EqualityComparable<student_record> {
  bool operator==(const student_record& a, const student_record& b) {
    return a.id == b.id;
  }
}

template<typename T> requires EqualityComparable<T> void f(T);

f(student_record()); // okay, have concept_map EqualityComparable<student_record>
```

— *end example*]

3   A concept map may contain two kinds of members: *requirement members* and members that satisfy requirement

members. The latter may be explicitly declared within the concept, explicitly declared within a refinement, or generated implicitly from a default implementation from the concept or refinement of the concept.

4   A requirement member represents a requirement to satisfy (as described below) a single associated function (14.9.1.1), associated type or associated class template (14.9.1.2) from the corresponding concept. The set of requirement members is the set of associated functions, associated types and associated class templates from the concept after substitution of the concept's template parameters with the corresponding template arguments. [*Note:* There is no way to explicitly declare a requirement member. *— end note*]

5   After a requirement is satisfied, the requirement member serves as a synonym for the set of entities that satisfies the requirement (14.9.2.1, 14.9.2.2). That entity is said to be the *satisfier* of the requirement member. Each requirement member is visible during qualified name lookup (3.4.3.3). [*Note:* A satisfier need not be a member of a concept map. *— end note*]

6   A concept map member that satisfies a requirement member cannot be found by any form of name lookup (3.4).

7   A concept map archetype (14.10.2) is considered to have satisfiers (generated from the concept) for each of its requirement members.

8   Concept maps shall satisfy every associated function (14.9.1.1), associated type and associated class template requirement (14.9.1.2) of its concept instance and all of the requirements inherited from its refined concept instances (14.9.3). [*Example:*

```
concept C<typename T, typename U> { T f(T); U f(U); }

concept_map C<int, int> {
  int f(int); // okay: matches requirement for f in concept instance C<int, int>
}
```

— end example]

9   Concept maps shall not contain declarations that do not satisfy any requirement in their corresponding concept or its refined concepts. [*Example:*

```
concept C<typename T> { }

concept_map C<int> {
  int f(int); // error: no requirement for function f
}
```

— end example]

10   At the point of definition of a concept map, all associated requirements (14.9.1.3) of the corresponding concept and its refined concepts (14.9.3) shall be satisfied (14.10.1.1). [*Example:*

```
concept SignedIntegral<typename T> { /∗ ... ∗/ }

concept ForwardIterator<typename Iter> {
  typename difference_type;
  requires SignedIntegral<difference_type>;
}
```

```
concept_map SignedIntegral<ptrdiff_t> { }

concept_map ForwardIterator<int*> {
  typedef ptrdiff_t difference_type;
} // okay: there exists a concept_map SignedIntegral<ptrdiff_t>

class file_iterator { ... };

concept_map ForwardIterator<file_iterator> {
  typedef long difference_type;
} // error: no concept_map SignedIntegral<long> if ptrdiff_t is not long
```

— end example]

11   A concept map for an implicit concept is implicitly defined when it is needed by concept map lookup (14.10.1.1). If any requirement of the concept or its refinements is not satisfied by the implicitly-defined concept map, the concept map is not implicitly defined. The implicitly-defined concept map is defined in the namespace of the concept. [*Example:*

```
auto concept Addable<typename T> {
  T::T(const T&);
  T operator+(T, T);
}

template<typename T>
requires Addable<T>
T add(T x, T y) {
  return x + y;
}

int f(int x, int y) {
  return add(x, y); // okay: concept map Addable<int> implicitly defined
}
```

— end example]

12   [*Note:* Failure to implicitly define a concept map does not necessarily imply that the program is ill-formed (14.8.2). *— end note*] [*Example:*

```
auto concept F<typename T> {
  void f(T);
}

auto concept G<typename T> {
  void g(T);
}

template<typename T> requires F<T> void h(T); // #1
template<typename T> requires G<T> void h(T); // #2

struct X { };
void g(X);
```

```
void func(X x) {
  h(x); // okay: implicit concept map F<X> fails, causing template argument deduction to fail for #1; calls #2
}
```

— *end example*]

13 A concept map or concept map template shall be defined before the first use of a concept instance that would make use of the concept map or concept map template in every translation unit in which such a use occurs; no diagnostic is required. If the introduction of a concept map or concept map template changes a previous result (e.g., in template argument deduction (14.8.2)), the program is ill-formed, no diagnostic required. Concept map templates must be instantiated if doing so would affect the semantics of the program. A concept map for a particular concept instance shall not be defined both implicitly and explicitly in the same namespace in a program. If one translation unit of a program contains an explicitly-defined concept map for that concept instance, and a different translation contains an implicitly-defined concept map for that concept instance, then the program is ill-formed, no diagnostic required.

14 The implicit or explicit definition of a concept map asserts that the axioms (14.9.1.4) stated in its corresponding concept (and the refinements of that concept) hold, permitting an implementation to perform the transformations described in 14.9.1.4. If an axiom is violated, the behavior of the program is undefined.

14.9.2.1   Associated function definitions   [concept.map.fct]

1 Function definitions in the concept map can be used to adapt the syntax of the concept's template arguments to the syntax expected by the concept. [*Example:*

```
concept Stack<typename S> {
  typename value_type;
  bool empty(S const&);
  void push(S&, value_type);
  void pop(S&);
  value_type& top(S&);
}

// Make a vector behave like a stack
template<Regular T>
concept_map Stack<std::vector<T> > {
  typedef T value_type;
  bool empty(std::vector<T> const& vec) { return vec.empty(); }
  void push(std::vector<T>& vec, value_type const& value) {
    vec.push_back(value);
  }
  void pop(std::vector<T>& vec) { vec.pop_back(); }
  value_type& top(std::vector<T>& vec) { return vec.back(); }
}
```

— *end example*]

2 A function or function template defined in a concept map is inline.

3 An associated function (or function template) requirement is satisfied as follows. Given an associated function (call it f), let R be the return type of f, after substitution of the concept's template arguments for their corresponding concept

parameters. Construct an expression E (as defined below) in the scope of the concept map. Then, the associated function requirement is satisfied:

— if R is *cv* void and the expression E is well-formed,

— if R is not *cv* void and the expression "E implicitly converted to R" is well-formed, or

— if f has a default implementation.

4   The expression E is defined differently depending on the associated function and the concept map definition. Let parm1, parm2, ..., parm*N* be the parameters of f (after substitution of the concept map arguments) and parm1′, parm2′, ..., parm*N*′ be expressions, where each parm*i*′ is an *id-expression* naming parm*i*. If the declared type of parm*i* is an lvalue reference type, then parm*i*′ is treated as an lvalue, otherwise, parm*i*′ is treated as an rvalue.

For an associated member function (or member function template) in a type X (after substitution of the concept map arguments into the associated member function or member function template), let x be an object of type *cv* X, where *cv* are the *cv-qualifiers* on the associated member function (or member function template). If the requirement has no *ref-qualifier* or if its *ref-qualifier* is &, x is an lvalue; otherwise, x is an rvalue.

The expression E is defined as follows:

— If f is an associated non-member function or function template and the concept map contains one or more function or function template definitions with the same name as f, E is f(parm1′, parm2′, ..., parm*N*′), and the overload set of entities f consists of the definitions of f in the concept map (and unqualified lookup 3.4.1 and argument dependent lookup [basic.lookup.argdep] are suppressed),

— if f is a non-static associated member function and the concept map contains one or more member function or member function template definitions in the type X and with the same name as f, E is x.f(parm1′, parm2′, ..., parm*N*′), where name lookup of x.f refers to the definitions of X::f in the concept map,

— if f is a static associated member function and the concept map contains one or more member function or member function template definitions in the type X and with the same name as f, E is X::f(parm1′, parm2′, ..., parm*N*′), where name lookup of X::f refers to the static definitions of X::f in the concept map,

— if the associated function or function template is a prefix unary operator Op, E is Op parm1′,

— if the associated function or function template is a postfix unary operator Op, E is parm1′ Op,

— if the associated function or function template is a binary operator Op, E is parm1′ Op parm2′,

— if the associated function or function template is the function call operator, E is parm1′(parm2′, parm3′, ..., parm*N*′),

— if the associated function is a conversion operator, E is parm1′ if the conversion operator requirement is not explicit and (R)parm1′ if the conversion operator requirement is explicit, where R is the return type of the conversion operator,

— if the associated function or function template is a non-member function or function template, E is an unqualified call f(parm1′, parm2′, ..., parm*N*′),

— if the associated function or function template is a static member function or function template in the type X, E is a call X::f(parm1′, parm2′, ..., parm*N*′),

— if the associated function is a constructor or constructor template that is `explicit` or has $N \neq 1$ parameters, `E` is `X(parm1', parm2', ..., parmN')`. [*Example:*

```
concept TwoIntConstructible<typename T> {
  T::T(int, int);
}

struct X { X(long, int); };
concept_map TwoIntConstructible<X> { } // okay: X has a constructor that can accept two ints
                                       // (the first is converted to a long)
```

— *end example*]

— if the associated function is a constructor or constructor template that has one parameter (and is not `explicit`), `E` is "`parm1'` implicitly converted to `X`". [*Example:*

```
concept IC<typename T> {
  T::T(int);
}

concept EC<typename T> {
  explicit T::T(int);
}

struct X {
  X(int);
};

struct Y {
  explicit Y(int);
};

concept_map IC<X> { } // okay
concept_map EC<X> { } // okay
concept_map IC<Y> { } // error: cannot copy-initialize Y from an int
concept_map EC<Y> { } // okay
```

— *end example*]

— if the associated function is a destructor, `E` is `x.~X()`. [*Example:*

```
concept Destructible<typename T> {
  T::~T();
}

concept_map Destructible<int> { } // okay: int is not a class type

struct X { };
concept_map Destructible<X> { } // okay: X has implicitly-declared, public destructor

struct Y { private: ~Y(); };
concept_map Destructible<Y> { } // error: Y's destructor is inaccessible
```

> *— end example*]

— if the associated member function requirement is a requirement for an operator `new` or `new[]`, E is
`operator new(parm1′, parm2′, ..., parmN′)` or `operator new[](parm1′)`, respectively. Name lookup for
the allocation function occurs in the scope of X; if this lookup fails to find the name, the allocation function's
name is looked up in the global scope.

— if the associated member function requirement is a requirement for an operator `delete` or `delete[]`, E is
`operator delete(parm1′, parm2′, ..., parmN′)` or `operator delete[](parm1′)`, respectively. Name lookup
for the deallocation function occurs in the scope of X; if this lookup fails to find the name, the deallocation
function's name is looked up in the global scope.

— otherwise, E is `x.f(parm1′, parm2′, ..., parmN′)`.

5   Each satisfied associated function (or function template) requirement has a corresponding associated function candidate
set. An *associated function candidate set* is a candidate set (14.10.3) representing the functions or operations used to
satisfy the requirement. The seed of the associated function candidate set is determined based on the expression E used
to determine that the requirement was satisfied.

— if the evaluation of E involves overload resolution at the top level, the seed is the function (13.3.1) selected by the
outermost application of overload resolution (clause 13), or

— if E is a pseudo destructor call ([expr.pseudo]), the seed is a *pseudo-destructor-name*, otherwise

— the seed is the initialization of an object.

14.9.2.2   Associated type and template definitions   [concept.map.assoc]

1   Definitions in the concept map provide types and templates that satisfy requirements for associated types and templates
(14.9.1.2), respectively.

2   Associated type parameter requirements are satisfied by type definitions in the body of a concept map. [*Example:*

```
concept ForwardIterator<typename Iter> {
  typename difference_type;
}

concept_map ForwardIterator<int*> {
  typedef ptrdiff_t difference_type;
}
```

> *— end example*]

3   Associated class template requirements are satisfied by class template definitions or template aliases ([temp.alias]) in
the body of the concept map. [*Example:*

```
concept Allocator<typename Alloc> {
  template<class T> class rebind;
}

template<typename T>
concept_map Allocator<my_allocator<T>> {
```

```
template<class U>
  class rebind {
  public:
    typedef my_allocator<U> type;
  };
}
```

— end example]

4   A concept map member that satisfies an associated type or class template requirement can be implicitly defined using template argument deduction (14.8.2) with one or more associated function requirements (14.9.2.1), if the associated type or class template requirement does not have a default value. The definition of the associated type or class template is determined using the rules of template argument deduction from a type ([temp.deduct.type]). Let P be the return type of an associated function after substitution of the concept's template parameters specified by the concept map with their template arguments, and where each undefined associated type and associated class template has been replaced with a newly invented type or template template parameter, respectively. Let A be the return type of the seed in the associated function candidate set corresponding to the associated function. If the deduction fails, no concept map members are implicitly defined by that associated function. If the results of deduction produced by different associated functions yield more than one possible value, that associated type or class template is not implicitly defined. [*Example:*

```
auto concept Dereferenceable<typename T> {
  typename value_type;
  value_type& operator*(T&);
}

template<typename T> requires Dereferenceable<T> void f(T&);

void g(int* x) {
  f(x); // okay: Dereferenceable<int*> implicitly defined
        // implicitly-defined Dereferenceable<int*>::operator* calls built-in * for integer pointers
        // implicitly-defined Dereferenceable<int*>::value_type is int
}
```

— end example]

5   If an associated type or class template (14.9.1.2) has a default value, a concept map member satisfying the associated type or class template requirement shall be implicitly defined by substituting the concept map arguments into the default value. If this substitution does not produce a valid type or template (14.8.2), the concept map member is not implicitly defined. [*Example:*

```
auto concept A<typename T> {
  typename result_type = typename T::result_type;
}

auto concept B<typename T> {
  T::T(const T&);
}

template<typename T> requires A<T> void f(const T&); // #1
template<typename T> requires B<T> void f(const T&); // #2
```

```
struct X {};
void g(X x) {
  f(x); // okay: A<X> cannot satisfy result_type requirement, and is not implicitly defined, calls #2
}
```

— end example]

14.9.3   Concept refinement   [concept.refine]

1   The grammar for a *refinement-clause* is:

> *refinement-clause:*
> > : *refinement-specifier-list*
>
> *refinement-specifier-list:*
> > *refinement-specifier* , *refinement-specifier-list*
> > *refinement-specifier*
>
> *refinement-specifier:*
> > : :$_{opt}$ nested-name-specifier$_{opt}$ concept-id

2   Refinements specify an inheritance relationship among concepts. A concept B named in a *refinement-specifier* of concept D is a *refined concept* of D and D is a *refining concept* of B. A concept refinement inherits all requirements in the body of a concept (14.9.1), such that the requirements of the refining concept are a superset of the requirements of the refined concept. [*Note:* when a concept D refines a concept B, every set of template arguments that satisfies the requirements of D also satisfies the requirements of B. The refinement relationship is transitive. *— end note*] [*Example:* In the following example, EquilateralPolygon refines Polygon. Thus, every EquilateralPolygon is a Polygon, and constrained templates (14.10) that are well-formed with a Polygon constraint are well-formed when given an EquilateralPolygon.

```
concept Polygon<typename P> { /∗ ... ∗/ }

concept EquilateralPolygon<typename P> : Polygon<P> { /∗ ... ∗/ }
```

— end example]

3   A *refinement-specifier* shall refer to a previously defined concept. [*Example:*

```
concept C<typename T> : C<vector<T>> {/∗ ... ∗/ } // error: concept C is not defined
```

— end example]

4   The *template-argument-list* of a *refinement-specifier*'s *concept-id* shall refer to at least one of the template parameters, and no template parameter shall be used in a way that establishes an archetype. [*Example:*

```
concept InputIterator<typename Iter>
  : Incrementable<int>   // error: Incrementable<int> uses no template parameters
{
  // ...
}
```

— end example]

5   Within the definition of a concept, a concept map archetype (14.10.2) is synthesized for each *refinement-specifier* in the concept's *refinement-clause* (if any).

14.9.3.1   Concept member lookup   [concept.member.lookup]

1   Concept member lookup determines the meaning of a name in concept scope (3.3.8). The following steps define the result of name lookup for a member name f in concept scope C. C_R is the set of concept scopes corresponding to the concepts refined by the concept whose scope is C.

2   If the name f is declared in concept scope C, and f refers to an associated type or class template (14.9.1.2), then the result of name lookup is the associated type or class template.

3   If the name f is declared in concept scope C, and f refers to one or more associated functions (14.9.1.1), then the result of name lookup is the set consisting of the associated functions in C in addition to the associated functions in each concept scope in C_R for which name lookup of f results in a set of associated functions. [*Example:*

```
concept C1<typename T> : CopyConstructible<T> {
  T f(T); // #1
}

concept C2<typename T> {
  typename f;
}

concept D<typename T> : C1<T>, C2<T> {
  T f(T, T); // #2
}

template<typename T>
requires D<T>
void f(T x)
{
  D<T>::f(x); // name lookup finds #1 and #2, overload resolution selects #1
}
```

— end example]

4   If the name f is not declared in C, name lookup searches for f in the scopes of each of the refined concepts (C_R). If name lookup of f is ambiguous in any concept scope C_R, name lookup of f in C is ambiguous. Otherwise, the set of concept scopes $C_{R'}$ is a subset of C_R containing only those concept scopes for which name lookup finds f. The result of name lookup for f in C is defined by:

  — if $C_{R'}$ is empty, name lookup of f in C returns no result, or

  — if $C_{R'}$ contains only a single concept scope, name lookup for f in C is the result of name lookup for f in that concept scope, or

  — if f refers to one or more functions in all of the concept scopes in $C_{R'}$, then f refers to the set consisting of all associated functions from all of the concept scopes in $C_{R'}$, or

— if f refers to an associated type in all concept scopes in $C_{R'}$, and all of the associated types are equivalent (14.10.1), the result is the associated type f, ~~found first by a depth-first traversal of the refinement clauses,~~

— otherwise, name lookup of f in C is ambiguous.

[*Example:*

```
concept A<typename T> { typename t; }

concept B<typename T> { typename t; }

concept C<typename T> :  A<T>, B<T> {
  f(t); // error: ambiguous, the two t's are not equivalent
  f(A<T>::t); // okay
}
```

— *end example*]

5 When name lookup in a concept scope C results in a set of associated functions, duplicate associated functions are removed from the set. [*Example:*

```
concept A<typename T> {
  T f(T); // #1a
}

concept B<typename T> {
  T f(T); // #1b
  T g(T); // #2a
}

concept C<typename T> : A<T>, B<T> {
  T g(T); // #2b
}

template<typename T>
requires C<T>
void h(T x) {
  C<T>::f(x); // overload set contains #1a; #1b was removed as a duplicate
  C<T>::g(x); // overload set contains #2b; #2a was removed as a duplicate
}
```

— *end example*]

14.9.3.2   Implicit concept maps for refined concepts [concept.refine.maps]

1 When a concept map or concept map template is defined for a concept C that has a refinement clause, concept maps or concept map templates for each of the concept instances in the refinement clause of C shall be defined, implicitly or explicitly, in the namespace of which the refining concept map or concept map template is a member. [*Example:*

```
concept A<typename T> { }
concept B<typename T> : A<T> { }
```

```
concept_map B<int> { }
```
// implicitly defines concept map A<int>

— end example]

2   A concept map or concept map template for a refinement (called a *refined* concept map or concept map template)
has been defined if concept map lookup (14.10.1.1) finds a concept map for the concept instance I in the namespace
of which the refining concept map or concept map template is a member, where I is determined by substituting the
template arguments of the refining concept map or concept map template into the *refinement-specifier* corresponding to
the refinement. If concept map lookup fails or if it finds a concept map from a different namespace, a suitable concept
map shall be defined implicitly, as described below.

3   Concept map templates (14.5.8) can only be defined for certain refinements. A refined concept map template corresponding
to a particular *refinement-specifier* can be defined if all of the template parameters of the refining concept map template
are *deducible*. Let R be the *refinement-specifier* after substitution of the refining concept map's template arguments for
the corresponding template parameters. A template parameter T of the refining concept map template is *deducible* if
for any template argument P in R's *template-argument-list* there exists a type, template, or value A such that template
argument deduction (14.8.2) performed with that P/A pair would determine a value for T. If any template parameter of
the refining concept map template is not deducible, the program is ill-formed. Otherwise, a refined concept map template
is implicitly defined in the namespace of the refining concept map template; its *template-parameter-list* and template
requirements are the same as the refining concept map template, and its *concept-id* is R. [*Example:*

```
concept C<typename T> { }
concept D<typename T, typename U> : C<T> { }

template<typename T> struct A { };

template<typename T> concept_map D<A<T>, T> { }
// implicitly defines:
template<typename T> concept_map C<A<T>> { }

template<typename T, typename U>
  concept_map D<T, A<U>> { } // ill-formed: cannot deduce template parameter U from C<T>
                             // and there is no concept map template C<T>
```

— end example]

4   When a refined concept map or concept map template is implicitly defined, definitions in the refining concept map or
concept map template can be used to satisfy the requirements of the refined concept (14.9.2). [*Note:* a single function
definition in a concept map can be used to satisfy multiple requirements. *— end note*] [*Example:* in this example, the
concept map D<int> implicitly defines the concept map C<int>.

```
concept C<typename T> {
  T f(T);
  void g(T);
}

concept D<typename T> : C<T> {
  void g(T);
}
```

```
concept_map D<int> {
  int f(int x) { return -x; } // satisfies requirement for C<int>::f
  void g(int x) { } // satisfies requirement for C<int>::g and D<int>::g
}
```

— *end example*]

5   Each concept map or concept map template shall have satisfiers that are compatible with the satisfiers of its refined concept maps or concept map templates. A satisfier of the refining concept map or concept map template is compatible with its corresponding satisfier of the refined concept map or concept map template if

— the satisfiers correspond to an associated function requirement (14.9.1.1) and their associated function candidate sets have the same seed or

— the satisfiers satisfy an associated type or class template requirement (14.9.1.2) and both satisfiers name the same type or template, respectively.

If a program contains satisfiers of a concept map or concept map template that are not compatible with their corresponding satisfiers of a refined concept map or concept map template, the program is ill-formed. If the concept maps or concept map templates with satisfiers that are not compatible occur in different translation units, no diagnostic is required. [*Example:*

```
concept C<typename T> {
  typename assoc;
  assoc f(T);
}

concept D<typename T> : C<T> {
  int g(T);
}

concept E<typename T> : D<T> { }

concept_map C<int> {
  typedef int assoc;
  int f(int x) { return x; }
}

concept_map D<int> {
  typedef int assoc; // okay: same type as C<int>::assoc
  // okay: f is not defined in D<int>
  int g(int x) { return -x; } // okay: satisfies D<int>::g
}

concept_map E<int> {
  typedef float assoc; // error: E<int>::assoc and D<int>::assoc are not the same type
  // okay: f is not defined in D<int>
  int g(int x) { return x; } // error: D<int>::g already defined in concept map D<int>
}
```

— end example]

14.10   Constrained templates   [temp.constrained]

1   A template that has a *requires-clause* (or declares any template type parameters using the simple form of requirements (14.1)) is a *constrained template*. A constrained template can only be instantiated with template arguments that satisfy its template requirements. The template definitions of constrained templates are similarly constrained, requiring names to be found through name lookup at template definition time (3.4). [*Note:* Names can be found in the template requirements of a constrained template (3.3.9). The practical effect of constrained templates is that they provide improved diagnostics at template definition time, such that any use of the constrained template that satisfies the template's requirements is likely to result in a well-formed instantiation. *— end note*]

2   A template that is not a constrained template is an *unconstrained template*.

3   A *constrained context* is a part of a constrained template in which all name lookup is resolved at template definition time. Names that would be dependent outside of a constrained context shall be found in the current scope, which includes the template requirements of the constrained template (3.3.9). [*Note:* Within a constrained context, template parameters behave as if aliased to their corresponding archetypes (14.10.2) so there are no dependent types ([temp.dep.type]), and no type-dependent values (14.6.2.2) or dependent names (14.6.2). Instantiation in constrained contexts (14.10.4) still substitutes types, templates and values for template parameters. *— end note*] A constrained context is any part of a constrained template that is not an unconstrained context (described below).

4   Any context that is not a constrained context is an *unconstrained context*. Within a constrained context, several constructs provide unconstrained contexts:

   — a late-checked block (6.9),

   — a default argument in a *template-parameter*, and

   — a default function argument (8.3.5).

5   Within a constrained context, a program shall not refer to an unconstrained template.

14.10.1   Template requirements   [temp.req]

1   A template has *template requirements* if it contains a *requires-clause* or any of its template parameters is specified using the simple form of requirements (14.1). Template requirements state the conditions under which the template can be used.

> *requires-clause:*
>   requires *requirement-list*
>   requires (*requirement-list*)
>
> *requirement-list:*
>   *requirement* . . .$_{opt}$ && *requirement-list*
>   *requirement* . . .$_{opt}$
>
> *requirement:*
>   ::$_{opt}$ *nested-name-specifier$_{opt}$ concept-id*
>   ! ::$_{opt}$ *nested-name-specifier$_{opt}$ concept-id*

2   A *requires-clause* contains a list of requirements, all of which must be satisfied by the template arguments for the template. [*Note:* Requirement satisfaction is described in 14.10.1.1. — *end note*] A *requirement* not containing a ! is a *concept requirement*. A *requirement* containing a ! is a *negative requirement*.

3   A concept requirement that refers to the `std::SameType` concept ([concept.support]) is a *same-type requirement*. A same-type requirement is satisfied when its two template arguments refer to the same type (including the same *cv* qualifiers). In a constrained template (14.10), a same-type requirement `std::SameType<T1, T2>` makes the types T1 and T2 equivalent. [*Note:* type equivalence is a congruence relation, thus

— `std::SameType<T1, T2>` implies `std::SameType<T2, T1>`,

— `std::SameType<T1, T2>` and `std::SameType<T2, T3>` implies `std::SameType<T1, T3>`,

— `std::SameType<T1, T1>` is trivially true,

— `std::SameType<T1*, T2*>` implies `std::SameType<T1, T2>` and `std::SameType<T1**, T2**>`, etc.

— *end note*] [*Example:*

```
concept C<typename T> {
  typename assoc;
  assoc a(T);
}

concept D<typename T> {
  T::T(const T&);
  T operator+(T, T);
}

template<typename T, typename U>
requires C<T> && C<U> && SameType<C<T>::assoc, C<U>::assoc> && D<C<T>::assoc>
C<T>::assoc f(T t, U u) {
  return a(t) + a(u); // okay: C<T>::assoc and C<U>::assoc are the same type
}
```

— *end example*]

4   A *requirement* followed by an ellipsis is a pack expansion (14.5.3). Requirement pack expansions place requirements on all of the arguments in one or more template parameter packs. [*Example:*

```
auto concept OutputStreamable<typename T> {
  std::ostream& operator<<(std::ostream&, const T&);
}

template<typename T, typename... Rest>
requires OutputStreamable<T> && OutputStreamable<Rest>...
void print(const T& t, const Rest&... rest) {
  std::cout << t;
  print(rest);
}

template<typename T>
```

```
  requires OutputStreamable<T>
  void print(const T& t) {
    std::cout << t;
  }

  void f(int x, float y) {
    print(17, ", ", 3.14159); // okay: implicitly-generated OutputStreamable<int>, OutputStreamable<const char[3]>,
                              // and OutputStreamable<double>
    print(17, " ", std::cout); // error: no concept map OutputStreamable<std::ostream>
  }
```

— end example]

5   If the requirements of a template are inconsistent, such that no set of template arguments can satisfy all of the requirements, the program is ill-formed, no diagnostic required. [*Example:*

```
  concept C<typename T> { }

  template<typename T>
  requires C<T> && !C<T>
  void f(const T&); // error: no type can satisfy both C<T> && !C<T>, no diagnostic required
```

— end example]

14.10.1.1   Requirement satisfaction   [temp.req.sat]

1   During template argument deduction (14.8.2) against a constrained template, it is necessary to determine whether each of the requirements of the constrained template can be satisfied by the template arguments.

2   A concept requirement is *satisfied* if concept map lookup (described below) finds a unique concept map with the same full concept name as the concept named by the concept requirement and whose template argument list is the same as the template argument list of the concept requirement, after substitution of the constrained template's template arguments into the concept requirement's template argument list. Concept maps used to satisfy a concept requirement can be defined explicitly (14.9.2), instantiated from a concept map template (14.5.8), or defined implicitly (14.9.2). [*Example:*

```
  concept A<typename T> { }
  auto concept B<typename T> { T operator+(T, T); }
  concept C<typename T> { }
  concept D<typename T> { }

  concept_map A<float> { }
  concept_map B<float> { }
  template<typename T> concept_map C<T*> { }
  template<typename T> requires B<T> concept_map D<T> { }

  template<typename T> requires A<T> void f(T);
  template<typename T> requires B<T> void g(T);
  template<typename T> requires C<T> void h(T);
  template<typename T> requires D<T> void i(T);
```

```
struct X { };
void h(float x, int y, int X::* p, int *q) {
  f(x); // okay: uses concept map A<float>
  f(y); // error: no concept map A<int>; requirement not satisfied
  g(x); // okay: uses concept map B<float>
  g(y); // okay: implicitly defines and uses concept map B<int>
  g(p); // error: no implicit definition of concept map B<int X::*>; requirement not satisfied
  h(q); // okay: instantiates concept map C<T*> with T=int to satisfy requirement C<T>
  i(p); // error: i can't get no satisfaction; the concept map template D<T> does not apply because B<int X::*> is not satisfied
}
```

— *end example*]

3   A negative requirement is satisfied if concept map lookup fails to find a concept map that would satisfy the corresponding concept requirement. [*Note:* If concept map lookup results in an ambiguity, concept map lookup halts and the negative requirement is not satisfied. — *end note*] [*Example:*

```
concept A<typename T> { }
auto concept B<typename T> { T operator+(T, T); }

concept_map A<float> { }
concept_map B<float> { }

template<typename T> requires !A<T> void f(T);
template<typename T> requires !B<T> void g(T);

struct X { };
void h(float x, int y, int X::* p) {
  f(x); // error: concept map A<float> has been defined
  f(y); // okay: no concept map A<int>
  g(x); // error: concept map B<float> has been defined
  g(y); // error: implicitly defines concept map B<int>, requirement not satisfied
  g(p); // okay: concept map B<int X::*> cannot be implicitly defined
}
```

— *end example*]

4   *Concept map lookup* attempts to find a concept map that corresponds to the concept instance (call it I) formed from the concept of a requirement and its template argument list after substitution of template arguments for their corresponding template parameters. There is an associated full concept name (14.9.1) of I; call it N. Concept map lookup searches an ordered sequence Q (defined below) where each element is a set of concept maps called S. For each element in Q (progressing from the lowest to the highest-numbered element of Q), concept map lookup attempts to find within S

   — exactly one matching non-template concept map or, if one does not exist,

   — exactly one most-specific matching concept map template according to concept map matching rules (14.5.8).

   If no matching concept map is found within a set S in Q, concept map lookup proceeds to the next set in Q. If partial ordering of concept map templates results in an ambiguity, concept map lookup returns no result.

5   When concept map lookup is performed during template argument deduction during partial ordering ([temp.deduct.partial]),

`Q` is defined as containing only one element `S`, where `S` is the set of concept map archetypes synthesized from the requirements of the argument template (14.5.6.2,[temp.deduct.partial]).

6   When concept map lookup is performed during the instantiation of a constrained template (14.10.4), `Q` is defined as the following ordered sequence:

1. `S` is the set of concept maps, each with name `N`, that have replaced the concept map archetypes used in the constrained template.

2. `S` is the set of concept maps and concept map templates found by searching for `N` in the namespaces of which a subset of the concept maps in (1) (described below) are members and in the associated namespaces of those namespaces ([namespace.def]); *using-directives* in those namespaces are not followed during this search. Only those concept maps in (1) that were explicitly defined or were instantiated from concept map templates are considered when determining which namespaces to search.

3. If a concept map for `I` can be implicitly defined from an implicit concept (14.9.2), `S` contains the concept map generated from the implicit concept. Otherwise, `S` is empty.

[*Example:*

```
concept C<typename T> { }
concept D<typename T> { }

namespace N1 {
  concept_map C<int> { }
  concept_map D<int> { }
}
namespace N2 {
  template<C T> void f(T);                 // #1
  template<C T> requires D<T> void f(T);   // #2
  template<C T> void g(T x) {
    f(x);
  }
  using N1::concept_map C<int>;
  void h() {
    g(1);  // inside g's call to f, concept map lookup for D<int> finds N1::D<int>; calls #2
  }
}
```

— end example]

7   In all other cases, `Q` is defined as the ordered sequence:

1. `S` is formed by performing unqualified name lookup (3.4.1) for `N`.

2. `S` is the set of concept maps and concept map templates found by searching for `N` in the namespace of which the concept of `I` is a member and its associated namespaces ([namespace.def]); *using-directives* in these namespaces are not followed during this search and all names found by way of *using-declarations* are ignored.

3. If a concept map for `I` can be implicitly defined (14.9.2), `S` contains the implicitly-defined concept map for `I`. Otherwise, `S` is empty.

8   [*Note:* When concept map lookup is performed within a constrained context (14.10), concept map archetypes, whose names are placed at the same scope as template parameters, can be found by unqualified lookup. Concept map lookup does not require the definition of a concept map archetype that it finds. — *end note*]

9   If concept map lookup finds a matching concept map in a set S within Q, concept map lookup succeeds and the remaining elements of Q are ignored. [*Note:* The ordering of name-finding methods in Q can effect a kind of "concept map hiding" behavior. [*Example:*

```
namespace N1 {
  concept C<typename T> { }
  concept_map C<int> { } // #1

  template<C T> void f(T x);
}
namespace N2 {
  concept_map N1::C<int> { } // #2

  namespace N3 {
    concept_map N1::C<int> { } // #3

    void g() {
      N1::f(1); // uses #3 to satisfy concept requirement N1::C<int>
    }
  }
}
```

— *end example*] — *end note*]

10   [*Note:* Concept maps declared in the namespace of the concept itself will be found last by concept map lookup. [*Example:*

```
namespace N1 {
  concept C<typename T> { }
  concept_map C<int> { }
}
template<N1::C T> void f(T x);
void g() {
  f(1); // Ok, finds N1::concept_map C<int> because it is in the same namespace as concept N1::C.
}
```

— *end example*] — *end note*]

11   If a concept requirement appears (directly or indirectly) multiple times in the requirements of the template, and if the concept maps (14.9.2) used to satisfy the multiple occurrences of the concept requirement are not the same concept map or are different from the concept map that would be determined by concept map lookup (14.10.1.1), then the template arguments do not satisfy the requirements of the template. [*Example:*

```
concept A<typename T> { }
concept B<typename T> {
  typename X;
  requires A<X>;
```

```
}
concept C<typename T> {
  typename X;
  requires A<X>;
}
namespace N1 {
  concept_map A<int> { }  // #1
  concept_map B<int> { }  // uses #1 to satisfy the requirement for A<int>
}
namespace N2 {
  concept_map A<int> { }  // #2
  concept_map C<int> { }  // uses #2 to satisfy the requirement for A<int>
}
template<typename T> requires B<T> && C<T>
struct S { };
using N1::concept_map B<int>;
using N2::concept_map C<int>;
S<int> s; // ill-formed, two different concept maps for A<int>, #1 and #2
```

— end example]

14.10.1.2   Requirement implication [temp.req.impl]

1 The declaration of a constrained template implies additional template requirements that are available within the body of the template. A requirement is *implied* if the absence of that requirement would render the constrained template declaration ill-formed. Template requirements are implied from:

— the type of a constrained function template,

— the template arguments of a constrained class template partial specialization,

— the template arguments of a concept map template,

— the template parameters of a constrained template,

— the requirements of a constrained template (including implied requirements),

— the associated requirements and refinements of a concept, and

— the type of an associated function requirement.

2 For every concept requirement in a template's requirements (including implied requirements), requirements for the refinements and associated requirements of the concept named by the concept instance (14.9.3, 14.9.1.3) are implied.

3 The formation of types within certain parts of the declaration of a constrained template (described above) implies the template requirements needed to ensure that the types themselves are well-formed within any instantiation. The following type constructions imply template requirements:

— For every *template-id* X<A1, A2, ..., AN>, where X is a constrained template, the requirements of X (after substitution of the arguments A1, A2, ..., AN) are implied. [*Example:*

```
template<LessThanComparable T> class set { /* ... */ };

template<CopyConstructible T>
void maybe_add_to_set(std::set<T>& s, const T& value);
// use of std::set<T> implicitly adds requirement LessThanComparable<T>
```

— *end example*]

— If the formation of a type containing an archetype T will be ill-formed due to a missing requirement C<T>, where C is a compiler-supported concept ([concept.support]), the requirement C<T> is implied. [*Example:*

```
concept C<typename T> { typename assoc; }

template<typename T>
requires C<T>
C<T>::assoc   // implies Returnable<C<T>::assoc>
f(T*, T&); // implies PointeeType<T> and ReferentType<T>
```

— *end example*]

— For every *qualified-id* that names an associated type or class template, a concept requirement for the concept instance containing that associated type or class template is implied. [*Example:*

```
concept Addable<typename T, typename U> {
  CopyConstructible result_type;
  result_type operator+(T, U);
}

template<CopyConstructible T, CopyConstructible U>
Addable<T, U>::result_type // implies Addable<T, U>
add(T t, U u) {
  return t + u;
}
```

— ~~For every type archetype T that is the type of a parameter in a function type, the requirement MoveConstructible<T>~~ ~~is implied.~~ — *end example*]

4   In the definition of a constrained class template partial specialization, the requirements of its primary class template (14.5.5), after substitution of the template arguments of the class template partial specialization, are implied. If this substitution results in a requirement that does not depend on any template parameter, then the requirement must be satisfied (14.10.1); otherwise, the program is ill-formed. [*Example:*

```
template<typename T>
requires EqualityComparable<T>
class simple_set { };

template<std::ObjectType T>
class simple_set<T*> // implies EqualityComparable<T*>
{
};
```

— *end example*]

5   The template requirements for two templates are *identical* if they contain the same concept, negative, and same-type requirements in arbitrary order. Two requirements are the same if they have the same kind, name the same concept, and have the same template argument lists.

14.10.2   Archetypes   [temp.archetype]

1   An *archetype* is a non-dependent type, template, or value whose behavior is defined by the template requirements (14.10.1) of its constrained template. Within a constrained context (14.10), a ~~template parameter~~type, value, or template that has an established archetype (described below) behaves as if it were its archetype. [*Note:* this substitution of archetypes (which are not dependent) for their corresponding types, templates, or values (which would be dependent in an unconstrained template) effectively treats all types and templates (and therefore both expressions and names) in a constrained context as "non-dependent". — *end note*]

2   The archetype of a type is a type, the archetype of a template is a class template, and the archetype of a value is a value.

3   A type in a constrained context aliases an archetype if it is:

— a template type parameter (14.1),

— an associated type (14.9.1.2), or

— a class template specialization involving one or more archetypes.

4   A template in a constrained context aliases an archetype if it is:

— a template template parameter (14.1) or

— an associated class template (14.9.1.2).

5   A value in a constrained context aliases an archetype if it is a *constant-expression* (5.19) whose value depends on a template parameter.

6   If two types, T1 and T2, both alias archetypes and are the same (e.g., due to one or more same-type requirements (14.10.1)), then T1 and T2 alias the same archetype T′. [*Note:* there is no mechanism to specify the relationships between different value archetypes, because such a mechanism would introduce the need for equational reasoning within the translation process. — *end note*]

7   An archetype does not exist until it is *established*. An archetype becomes established under the following circumstances:

— a type that aliases the archetype has been used in the template argument list of a class template specialization or concept instance whose definition is required,

— a type that aliases the archetype is provided as an argument to a sizeof or alignof expression ([expr.sizeof], [expr.alignof]),

— at the end of the function declarator in a function declaration in which one or more parameters use a type that aliases the archetype, or

— the archetype has been committed (described below).

8   If a same-type requirement attempts to make two types equivalent that both alias established archetypes but do not alias the same archetype, the program is ill-formed.

9   A type archetype that has been *committed* has been defined as described below. Once an archetype has been committed, its definition can no longer be changed by the introduction of additional concept requirements. A program that specifies or implies concept requirements that use a committed archetype is ill-formed. An archetype is committed when:

  — the definition of the archetype is required,

  — the completeness of a type archetype might affect the semantics of the program, or

  — name lookup finds an associated function with a parameter that uses a type that aliases the archetype.

10   In the declaration of a constrained member, member template, or nested class, archetypes are established and committed as if it were the only member of its class. [*Note:* This means that members that come before the constrained member, member template, or nested class cannot prevent the expression of additional requirements on template parameters from enclosing scopes. — *end note*] [*Example:* Given:

```
concept C1<typename T> { }
concept C2<typename T> { }
concept C3<typename T> { }

template <C1 T> class X {
  requires C2<T> void g(T) {}
  class B {
    void g(T) {}
    requires C3<T> void f(T) {}
  };
};
```

X::g is evaluated as if in the context

```
template <C1 T> class X {
  requires C2<T> void g(T) {}
};
```

and X::B::g is evaluated as if in the context

```
template <C1 T> class X {
  class B {
    requires C3<T> void f(T) {}
  };
};
```

— *end example*]

11   An archetype T' for a type T is

  — an object type ([intro.object]), if the template contains the requirement `std::ObjectType<T>`,

  — a function type (8.3.5), if the template contains the requirement `std::FunctionType<T>`,

  — a class type (clause 9), if the template contains the requirement `std::ClassType<T>`,

— a class (clause 9), if the template contains the requirement `std::Class<T>`,

— a union ([class.union]), if the template contains the requirement `std::Union<T>`,

— a trivial type (3.9), if the template contains the requirement `std::TrivialType<T>`,

— a standard layout type (3.9), if the template contains the requirement `std::StandardLayoutType<T>`,

— a literal type (3.9), if the template contains the requirement `std::LiteralType<T>`,

— a scalar type (3.9), if the template contains the requirement `std::ScalarType<T>`,

— an arithmetic type ([basic.fundamental]), if the template contains the requirement `std::ArithmeticType<T>`,

— an integral type ([basic.fundamental]), if the template contains the requirement `std::IntegralType<T>`,

— a floating point type ([basic.fundamental]), if the template contains the requirement `std::FloatingPointType<T>`, and

— an enumeration type ([dcl.enum]), if the template contains the requirement `std::EnumerationType<T>`.

12   The archetype T' of T contains a public member function or member function template corresponding to each member function or member function template of each concept map archetype corresponding to a concept requirement that names T (14.10.1). [*Example:*

```
concept CopyConstructible<typename T> {
  T::T(const T&);
}

concept MemSwappable<typename T> {
  void T::swap(T&);
}

template<typename T>
requires CopyConstructible<T> && MemSwappable<T>
void foo(T& x) {
  // archetype T′ of T contains a copy constructor T′::T′(const T′&) from CopyConstructible<T>
  // and a member function void swap(T′&) from MemSwappable<T>
  T y(x);
  y.swap(x);
}
```

— *end example*]

13   If no requirement specifies a copy constructor for a type T, a copy constructor is implicitly declared (12.8) in the archetype of T with the following signature:

```
T(const T&) = delete;
```

[*Example:*

```
concept DefaultConstructible<typename T> {
  T::T();
}
```

```
concept MoveConstructible<typename T> {
  T::T(T&&);
}

template<typename T>
requires DefaultConstructible<T> && MoveConstructible<T>
void f(T x) {
  T y = T(); // okay: move-constructs y from default-constructed T
  T z(x); // error: overload resolution selects implicitly-declared
          // copy constructor, which is deleted
}
```

— end example]

14   If no requirement specifies a copy assignment operator for a type T, a copy assignment operator is implicitly declared (12.8) in the archetype of T with the following signature:

```
T& T::operator=(const T&) = delete;
```

15   If no requirement specifies a destructor for a type T, a destructor is implicitly declared (12.4) in the archetype of T with the following signature:

```
~T() = delete;
```

16   If no requirement specifies a unary & operator for a type T, a unary member operator & is implicitly declared in the archetype of T for each *cv* that is a valid *cv-qualifier-seq*:

```
cv T* operator&() cv = delete;
```

17   For each of the allocation functions new, new[], delete, and delete[] ([class.free]), if no requirement specifies the corresponding operator with a signature below, that allocation function is implicitly declared as a member function in the archetype T′ of T with the corresponding signature from the following list:

```
static void* T′::operator new(std::size_t) = delete;
static void* T′::operator new(std::size_t, void*) = delete;
static void* T′::operator new(std::size_t, const std::nothrow_t&) throw() = delete;
static void* T′::operator new[](std::size_t) = delete;
static void* T′::operator new[](std::size_t, void*) = delete;
static void* T′::operator new[](std::size_t, const std::nothrow_t&) throw() = delete;
static void T′::operator delete(void*) = delete;
static void T′::operator delete(void*, void*) = delete;
static void T′::operator delete(void*, const std::nothrow_t&) throw() = delete;
static void T′::operator delete[](void*) = delete;
static void T′::operator delete[](void*, void*) = delete;
static void T′::operator delete[](void*, const std::nothrow_t&) throw() = delete;
```

18   If the template requirements contain a requirement std::DerivedFrom<T, Base>, then the archetype of T is publicly derived from the archetype of Base. If the same std::DerivedFrom<T, Base> requirement occurs more than once within the template requirements, the repeated std::DerivedFrom<T, Base> requirements are ignored.

19   If two associated member function or member function template requirements that name a constructor or destructor for a type T have the same signature, the duplicate signature is ignored.

20   If a class template specialization is an archetype that does not appear as a template argument of any explicitly-specified requirement in the template requirements and whose template is not itself an archetype, then the archetype is an *instantiated archetype*. An *instantiated archetype* is an archetype whose definition is provided by the instantiation of its template with its template arguments (which involve archetypes). The template shall not be an unconstrained template. [*Note:* Partial ordering of class template partial specializations (14.5.5.2) will depend on the properties of the archetypes, as defined by the requirements of the constrained template. When the constrained template is instantiated (14.10.4), partial ordering of class template partial specializations will occur a second time based on the actual template arguments. — *end note*] [*Example:*

```
template<EqualityComparable T>
struct simple_multiset {
  bool includes(const T&);
  void insert(const T&);
  // ...
};

template<LessThanComparable T>
struct simple_multiset<T> { // A
  bool includes(const T&);
  void insert(const T&);
  // ...
};

template<LessThanComparable T>
bool first_access(const T& x) {
  static simple_multiset<T> set; // instantiates simple_multiset<T'>, where T' is the archetype of T ,
                                 // from the partial specialization of simple_multiset marked 'A'
  return set.includes(x)? false : (set.insert(x), true);
}
```

— *end example*]

[*Note:* Class template specializations for which template requirements are specified behave as normal archetypes. — *end note*] [*Example:*

```
auto concept CopyConstructible<typename T> {
  T::T(const T&);
}

template<CopyConstructible T> struct vector;

auto concept VectorLike<typename X> {
  typename value_type = typename X::value_type;
  X::X();
  void X::push_back(const value_type&);
  value_type& X::front();
}
```

```
template<CopyConstructible T>
requires VectorLike<vector<T>> // vector<T> is an archetype (but not an instantiated archetype)
void f(const T& value) {
  vector<T> x; // okay: default constructor in VectorLike<vector<T> >
  x.push_back(value); // okay: push_back in VectorLike<vector<T> >
  VectorLike<vector<T>>::value_type& val = x.front(); // okay: front in VectorLike<vector<T»
}
```

— end example]

21   [*Note:* Constrained class templates involving recursive definitions are ill-formed if the recursive class template specialization is an instantiated archetype. Constrained class templates involving recursive definitions can be specified by adding template requirements on the recursive class template specializations, making them archetypes that are not instantiated archetypes. [*Example:*

```
template<CopyConstructible... T> class tuple;

template<CopyConstructible Head, CopyConstructible... Tail>
class tuple<Head, Tail...> : tuple<Tail...> // ill-formed: tuple<Tail...> is an instantiated archetype,
                                            // but it is an incomplete type
{
  Head head;
  // ...
};

template<> class tuple<> { /* ... */ };
```

— end example] *— end note*]

22   In a constrained context, for each concept requirement that is stated in or implied by the corresponding requirements, a *concept map archetype* for that requirement is synthesized by substituting the archetype of T for each occurrence of T within the template arguments of the requirement. The concept map archetype acts as a concept map, and its definition is used to resolve name lookup into requirements scope (3.3.9) and satisfy the requirements of templates used inside the constrained context. When the definition of a concept map archetype is required, it will be synthesized from the definition of its corresponding concept (14.9.2). An implementation shall not define a concept map archetype unless the definition of that concept map archetype is required. [*Example:*

```
concept SignedIntegral<typename T> {
  T::T(const T&);
  T operator-(T);
}
concept RandomAccessIterator<typename T> {
  SignedIntegral difference_type;
  difference_type operator-(T, T);
}
template<SignedIntegral T> T negate(const T& t) { return -t; }

template<RandomAccessIterator Iter>
RandomAccessIterator<Iter>::difference_type distance(Iter f, Iter l) {
```

```
typedef RandomAccessIterator<Iter>::difference_type D;
D dist = f - l;   // okay: - operator resolves to synthesized operator- in
                  // the concept map archetype RandomAccessIterator<Iterᴵ>,
                  // where Iterᴵ is the archetype of Iter
return negate(dist); // okay, concept map archetype RandomAccessIterator<Iterᴵ>
                     // implies the concept map archetype SignedIntegral<Dᴵ>,
                     // where Dᴵ is the archetype of D
```

— end example]

14.10.3   Candidate sets   [temp.constrained.set]

1   A *candidate set* is a set containing functions and function templates that is determined by a *seed* (defined below). ~~that~~A candidate set is defined in a constrained context (a *retained candidate set*, 14.10.4) or as the result of satisfying an associated function requirement in a concept map (an *associated function candidate set*, 14.9.2.1). Candidate sets are used to capture a set of candidate functions that will be used in the instantiation of a constrained template (14.10.4) or when referring to members in a concept map (14.9.2). [*Note:* For the purposes of this section, candidate operator functions (13.6) are considered functions. *— end note*]

2   Each candidate set has a *seed*, which provides the basis for the candidate set itself. All functions and function templates that are consistent with the seed are contained in the candidate set. The seed is determined as part of the definition of the candidate set, and will be one of:

— a function,

— the initialization of an object ([dcl.init]), or

— a *pseudo-destructor-name* ([expr.pseudo]).

3   A function is *consistent with* the seed if

— it has the same name as the seed,

— its enclosing namespace is the same as the enclosing namespace of the seed,

— the seed has a return type of *cv* `void` or the function has the same return type as the seed, after the reference (if any) and then top-level *cv-qualifiers* (if any) have been removed from the return types of the seed and the function, and

— it has the same *parameter-type-list* as the seed, after making the following adjustments to both *parameter-type-list*s:

    — for a non-static member function, add the implicit object parameter (13.3.1) as the first parameter in the *parameter-type-list*,

    — for each parameter type, remove the top-level reference (if any) and then top-level *cv-qualifiers* (if any),

    — if the function has M parameters, the seed has N parameters, and $M > N$, remove each of the last $M - N$ parameters that has a default argument from the *parameter-type-list*, and

    — remove the ellipsis, if any.

[*Note:*No function or function template is consistent with a non-function seed. A seed that is a function is consistent with itself. — *end note*]

4   A function template is consistent with the seed if:

— it has the same name as the seed, and

— its enclosing namespace is the same as the enclosing namespace of the seed.

5   A candidate set is a set of overloaded functions. Overload resolution (13.3) for a candidate set is subject to the following additional conditions:

— the set of candidate functions for overload resolution is the set of functions in the candidate set, and

— if template argument deduction on a candidate function produces a function template specialization that is not consistent with the seed of the candidate set, the function template specialization is not a viable function ([over.match.viable]).

14.10.4   Instantiation of constrained templates   [temp.constrained.inst]

1   Instantiation of a constrained template replaces each template parameter within the definition of the template with its corresponding template argument, using the same process as for unconstrained templates (14.7).

2   Instantiation of a constrained template also replaces each concept map archetype with the concept map that satisfied the corresponding template requirement. [*Note:* A concept member that had resolved to a member of a concept map archetype now refers to a member of the corresponding concept map. — *end note*]

3   In the instantiation of a constrained template, a call to a function that resolves to an associated function in a concept map archetype (14.10.2) will be instantiated ~~as a call to~~using the associated function candidate set (14.10.3) that satisfies the corresponding associated function requirement in the concept map that replaces the concept map archetype. The instantiated form depends on the seed of the associated function candidate set and the syntactic form that resulted in the call in the constrained template:

— if the seed is a function, the instantiated form is a call to the associated function candidate set. [*Example:*

```
concept F<typename T> {
  T::T();
  void f(T const&);
}

template<typename T> requires F<T>
void g(T const& x) {
  f(x);     // calls F<T>::f. When instantiated with T=X, calls #1
  f(T());   // calls F<T>::f. When instantiated with T=X, calls #2
}

struct X {};
void f(X const&); // #1
void f(X&&); // #2

concept_map F<X> { } // associated function candidate set for f(X const&) contains #1 and #2, seed is #1

void h(X const& x) {
```

```
    g(x);
  }
```

  — end example]

— if the seed is a *pseudo-destructor-name*, the instantiated form is a pseudo destructor call ([expr.pseudo]).

— if the seed is the initialization of an object, the instantiated form is the appropriate kind of initialization (or no initialization) based on the syntactic form in the constrained template. [*Example:*

```
auto concept DefaultConstructible<typename T> {
  T::T();
}

template<DefaultConstructible T>
void f() {
  T t1;    // type-checks as a call to DefaultConstructible<T>'s T::T()
  T t2{}; // type-checks as a call to DefaultConstructible<T>'s T::T()
}

template void f<int>(); // t1 is not initialized, t2 is value-initialized
```

  — end example]

4   A use of a function template ~~specialization~~instantiation in a constrained template instantiates to a reference to that function template ~~specialization~~instantiation's retained candidate set. The *retained candidate set* is a candidate set (14.10.3) whose seed is ~~determined by~~ the function template ~~specialization~~instantiation.~~from the definition of the constrained template, after substitution of the constrained template's template arguments for their corresponding template parameters.~~ [*Example:*

```
concept InputIterator<typename Iter> {
  typename difference_type;
}
concept BidirectionalIterator<typename Iter> : InputIterator<Iter> { }
concept RandomAccessIterator<typename Iter> : BidirectionalIterator<Iter> { }

template<InputIterator Iter> void advance(Iter& i, Iter::difference_type n); // #1
template<BidirectionalIterator Iter> void advance(Iter& i, Iter::difference_type n); // #2

template<BidirectionalIterator Iter> void f(Iter i) {
  advance(i, 1); // seed function is #2
}

concept_map RandomAccessIterator<int*> {
  typedef std::ptrdiff_t difference_type;
}

template<RandomAccessIterator Iter> void advance(Iter& i, Iter::difference_type n); // #3

void g(int* i) {
  f(i); // in the call to advance(), #2 is the seed of the retained candidate set, the retained candidate set contains #1, #2,
```

```
                      // and #3, and partial ordering of function templates selects #3.
   }
```

— end example] — end note]

5   In the instantiation of a constrained template, a template specialization whose template arguments involve the constrained template's template parameters (14.10.2) will be replaced by the template specialization that results from substituting the constrained template's template arguments for their corresponding template parameters. [*Note:* If the template specialization is a template alias ([temp.alias]), the substitution will occur in the *type-id* of the template alias. *— end note]* The resulting type (call it A) shall be compatible with the type involving the template parameters (call it A′) that it replaced, otherwise the program is ill-formed. The template specializations are compatible if all of the following conditions hold:

— for each function, function template, or data member m of A′ referenced by the constrained template, there exists a member named m in A that is accessible from the constrained template and whose type, storage specifiers, template parameters (if any), and template requirements (if any) are the same as the those of A′::m after substituting the constrained template's template arguments for the corresponding template parameters,

— for each member type t of A′ referenced by the constrained template, there exists a member type t in A that is accessible from the constrained template and is compatible with the member type A′::t as specified herein, and

— for each base class B′ of A′ referenced by a derived-to-base conversion ([conv.ptr]) in the constrained template, there exists an unambiguous base class B of A that is accessible from the constrained template, where B is the type produced by substituting the constrained template's template parameters with the corresponding template arguments in B′.

[*Example:*
```
   auto concept CopyConstructible<typename T> {
     T::T(const T&);
   }

   template<CopyConstructible T>
   struct vector { // A
     vector(int, T const &);
     T& front();
   };

   template<typename T>
   struct vector<T*> { // B
     vector(int, T* const &);
     T*& front();
   };

   template<>
   struct vector<bool> { // C
     vector(int, bool);
     bool front();
   };

   template<CopyConstructible T>
```

```
void f(const T& x) {
  vector<T> vec(1, x);
  T& ref = vec.front();
}

void g(int i, int* ip, bool b) {
  f(i);  // okay: instantiation of f<int> uses vector<int>, instantiated from A
  f(ip); // okay: instantiation of f<int*> uses vector<int*>, instantiated from B
  f(b);  // ill-formed, detected in the instantiation of f<bool>, which uses the vector<bool> specialization C:
         // vector<bool>::front is not compatible with vector<T>::front (where T=bool)
}
```

— end example]

Appendix B
(informative)
Implementation quantities [implimits]

— Recursively nested implicit concept map definitions [1024]

Bibliography

[1] D. Gregor and B. Stroustrup. Concepts (revision 1). Technical Report N2081=06-0151, ISO/IEC JTC 1, Information Technology, Subcommittee SC 22, Programming Language C++, October 2006.